## Case 1:17-cr-00630-ER Document 195 Filed 12/12/19 Page 1 of 193

JBC7SCO1 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK 2 3 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 4 17 CR 630 (ER) v. 5 MARK S. SCOTT, 6 Defendant. -----x 7 8 New York, N.Y. November 12, 2019 9 9:00 a.m. 10 Before: 11 HON. EDGARDO RAMOS, 12 District Judge 13 **APPEARANCES** 14 15 GEOFFREY S. BERMAN, United States Attorney for the 16 Southern District of New York CHRISTOPHER DiMASE 17 NICHOLAS FOLLY JULIETA V. LOZANO 18 Assistant United States Attorneys 19 COVINGTON & BURLING LLP Attorneys for Defendant 20 BY: ARLO DEVLIN-BROWN KATRI STANLEY 21 -AND-DAVID M. GARVIN 22 23 24 25

(Trial resumed; jury not present)

THE COURT: OK.

MR. DIMASE: So, there are a couple of matters. One was briefed over the weekend, your Honor. I'm sure you've seen the letters from the government and the defendant regarding the Spendiff redirect and the materials the government attached regarding state of mind evidence in the case, so that's one issue I think we need to address.

The government also has some concerns to flag about exhibits that it believes Mr. Scott will attempt to introduce through government witnesses today.

Finally, I believe that Mr. Scott has an objection to one particular line of direct examination on a witness today that it probably makes sense to discuss.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. DIMASE: So I guess I will take those in that order. Starting with the government's letter and the exhibits attached thereto, I think the starting point should be the two exhibits that were offered and admitted on Friday. I don't think this is a key issue at this stage, but I would note that Mr. Devlin-Brown did have several opportunities to object before the evidence was admitted, but I think the point now is to determine whether or not the evidence should stay in or should be stricken or a limiting instruction should be given, so I will focus on that.

One of the areas of cross-examination of Mr. Spendiff, and one we expect to continue throughout the trial, is questions designed to demonstrate that Mr. Scott had a non-guilty or an innocent state of mind in operating the Fenero Funds, and one particular albeit brief line of cross-examination focus on the connection between the Fenero funds and quote unquote premiere law firms. And the exhibit is Government Exhibit 2201. Mr. Devlin-Brown pointed the witness to the text "The fund manager has engaged the premiere law firms Ogier and both Mason Hayes & Curran and Arthur Cox in the British Virgin Islands and Ireland."

THE COURT: I'm having difficulty hearing you. Slow down.

MR. DIMASE: Yes. The language that he put up in front of Mr. Spendiff was from the mission statement attached to Government Exhibit 2201, which says "The fund manager has engaged the premiere law firms Ogier and both Mason Hayes & Curran and Arthur Cox in the British Virgin Islands and Ireland respectfully to assist in the fund's structuring, registration and regulatory compliance and to act as general legal counsel going forward."

And Mr. Devlin-Brown highlighted that portion of the exhibit and asked a couple of questions of the witness, saying "Do you see the reference to the fund manager engaging premiere law firms? You had some dealings with Mason Hayes." To which

Mr. Spendiff said, "I think Apex may have done."

And he said you see Ogier on some of the documents," and Mr. Spendiff says, "yes, I know Ogier is a law firm.

"Q. A reputable law firm?

"A. Yes."

Bottom line the import of the questioning was to show that these funds were using very high level law firms to do their work and that that shows a non-guilty or innocent state of mind in operating the funds, the he would hire these premiere law firms. It was a theme of the cross, he hired Apex, a stand-up fund administration company; why would he do that if he had a guilty state of mind, so on and so forth.

The exhibits -- including the two exhibits the government offered on Friday -- undercut those arguments. They go directly to the state of mind on the other side, and they paint a full picture of what happened here not for the truth but as to the defendant's guilty knowledge. He wants to rely on these law firms and the connection to them, these premiere law firms, to show a non-guilty state of mind, but he doesn't want the evidence coming in that shows those very law firms later terminated him, which goes to that same state of mind, that same reliance on these organizations.

And a limiting instruction would be proper in the government's view to tell the jury that it should only be considered for that purpose; it should not be considered for

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

the truth of the contents but simply the effect on the listener, the effect on Mr. Scott, the knowledge that Mr. Scott gained that these same service providers were terminating their relationships with him.

So the same argument applies to many of these documents the government submitted along with the letter. I want to make one more point about the timing of the criminal conduct, and I did hand up a couple of charts, one of which is relevant to this point.

I think we really need to dispense with the fiction that Mr. Scott's criminal conduct ended in April of 2017, which is something that defense continues to lark on and harken back The fact is that Mr. Scott sent almost \$8 million back in the summer of 2017, so even the large flows of money were still going on later into 2017. He was engaged with he coconspirators as demonstrated by Mr. Ignatov's testimony and corroborating text messages in the summer of 2018 to help these coconspirators. He lied to F.B.I. agents when he was arrested in September and did not withdraw. And after that, your Honor, the document that I handed up shows that Mr. Scott was utilizing his lawyers' accounts to effectively launder OneCoin money in the states. He sent \$1.7 million into an attorney's account and said that that was a retainer. That was on 11/28/18. He sent a number of transfers through an attorney's account and back to himself on the other hand, which as the

Court will hear testimony from the testimony of Locke Lord was a common practice of the defendant to launder firms as part of this scheme, and that happened again after he was arrested, into 2019 even. And the indictment charges a conspiracy running through 2018.

So he was continuing to engage in this conduct. He learned that these fund administrators and other providers were terminating his services. That goes directly to his state of mind, whether it was innocent or not, in running these funds from both a money laundering perspective and a bank fraud perspective.

THE COURT: OK.

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Thank you, your Honor. So I think a couple of points on this. First of all, just in terms of how those documents happened to come into evidence, I recognize that the Court has a lot of discretion in terms of what to permit and in terms of cross-examination and direct and what documents should or shouldn't be shown to a witness when the witness has no knowledge of the documents.

But I think what happened on redirect just wasn't redirect at all. It was not something the witness had personal knowledge of; it was not anything that he was asked about. And I don't think redirect is coextensive with anything the government might want to show to rebut a point that they think the defense made some headway on in cross. So that's our first

concern.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Second -- and I don't want to dwell on how this document got before the jury and whether we had proper notice of that or not. You do have our letter, I think, your Honor, we filed last night. But, you know, we have been trying hard not to disrupt the flow of the government's case, and there have been times when they read ten documents, and we sort of assumed they're the same ten documents we saw on a list the night before. We have been trying to move things along.

I just wanted to alert the Court I don't think we can keep doing that at this pace. Not to get us too much on a side issue, but the government has, as we understand it, four witnesses today. We got between 9:30 last night and 12:20 in the a.m. the list of exhibits, which is probably in excess of 100 that the government is planning to offer through those witnesses, and a request that the defense indicate their own exhibits. In fact with respect to the back of Ireland exhibits, I got an e-mail at 12:20 in the morning from Mr. DiMase saying here is our Bank of Ireland exhibits, the defense should identify its by 8 a.m. And I had our only paralegal stayed up all night trying to do that. And it's a difficult task because the government has identified a broad range of exhibits, as we did for Bank of Ireland. They are all on a stipulation as to authenticity but then selected a smaller number to offer into evidence, and so we have to go through

each one that the government left off what it's going to offer, and see if it's marked a defense exhibit and see if we want it. I don't know well enough too much now, but we're going to have to slow that down today, because there is just sort of too much, and I don't I don't want mistakes like that to occur.

More to the point on this one. One question was asked of the witness about Mason Hayes, whether the fund administration did any work with it. The answer was I think Apex may have done, not from my office. There was no vouching for Mason Hayes. There was no statement from Mason Hayes as to why they took on the Fenero funds. I think it was beyond the scope of redirect.

To the more substantive issue of do these e-mails have relevance and significance in the case, we stand by what we said in court before and what we put in through our letter.

The fact that Mason Hayes -- where you're not going to have a witness testify -- terminated Mr. Scott's relationship in October 2017 is much to do about nothing.

And I do want to push back a little bit on the timing, because I do think it's actually quite important. With a money laundering case I suppose the government can always say it goes on because people are spending money and buying a Porsche and there are transfers that go on, but in terms of what is relevant to Mr. Scott's state of mind about OneCoin, in July of 2017 all of the funds — save a legal reserve litigation

reserve of 1.25 million euro are returned. October 2017

Mr. Scott is not using these Irish entities anymore. He actually has shut them down. The email indicates they have been put on the strike-off list shortly before. And then Mason Hayes responds that it's terminating this relationship.

Mr. Scott is not involved in any more transactions with the individuals at OneCoin. And the government keeps saying that people reached out in the summer of 2018. There is almost no evidence of that. And to the extent there is, it's that there was a reach out to Mr. Scott, and he pushed them away. And he may have signed a form or something with respect to a prior transfer, but that's it.

So, the idea that this has great relevance or any real relevance on his state of mind with respect to OneCoin in October 2017 I just think is not there.

And then there is a number of other concerns with the e-mails. I'm not sure if the government's view is that this is crime fraud and that's why an e-mail from Mr. Scott's counsel to him terminating the relationship, you know, doesn't have any privilege issues. I don't think the termination of the relationship would be in furtherance of any criminal activity, and that wouldn't be a basis for it.

But more to the point, your Honor -- these are the issues we raised on Friday in our letter -- you are taking this isolated somewhat inflammatory language, you don't have the

whole story, you don't have witnesses who will testify. The government can keep saying it's not offering it for the truth, but then I'm not really clear what the significance is of it, because you have the Mason Hayes people saying we have money laundering concern, Mr. Scott saying we have done everything right. If it's not offered for the truth, I think it has even less relevance. And that's clearly the link the government is seeking to draw.

Look, we have no problem with witnesses from any entity, Apex or otherwise, taking the stand and talking about their decisions to end relationships with Mr. Scott. But just putting in e-mails from the broad searches to try to make that same point, where there is no opportunity to cross the witness, really doesn't strike us as fair. So, we think those exhibits should be removed from evidence, the testimony should be struck, and that's our request that the Court provide a brief instruction to the jury.

MR. DIMASE: In response, on the exhibits point I just want to make clear that all the exhibits that were provided in response to defense request to identify particular exhibits — we which we don't usually do in advance of witness testimony — were marked weeks ago. So, it's not as if they're getting these for the first time. I just want to make that clear.

I do think there are just a couple of small points here. One, it's not just any one particular letter or e-mail;

it's the cumulative effect of all of these documents over time that shows the defendant's state of mind and his knowledge that this Fenero fund operation was acting in a legal manner.

I do think it's important to focus on the particular things that are being told to Mr. Scott in the Mason Hayes term letter, which is one of just a number of such communications. The third page it says, "given your failure to provide the information and documents requested by us to identify the beneficial owners of the companies for our anti-money laundering purposes and the information required by us to understand the nature of the activities of the companies ... we are resigning as lawyers to the remaining companies with immediate effect."

So, this goes again to this essential issue in this case, the defendant's state of mind and the fact that these companies, these service providers, are terminating him because it shows that he is operating in an illegal manner. And it's the repeated receipt of these e-mails and letters over the course of almost two years that presents a pattern of evidence that is critical to show the defendant's state of mind.

And as far as the hearsay issues, your Honor, those can be addressed with a limiting instruction. These are not to be considered for the truth, they are only to be considered for state of mind. And obviously the government would be unable to argue them for the truth in any jury argument as well.

THE COURT: OK. I guess there have been a number of arguments made, legal and otherwise, Concerning the two exhibits in particular on Mr. and Mr. Spendiff. And as I indicated on Friday, I was a little concerned about how all that happened, concerned in particular about the potential prejudice to Mr. Scott as a result. And reading the transcript it's clear -- and I'm not ascribing any bad motive to anyone -- but it's clear that there was some confusion as to whether or not those documents were in evidence.

At page 752 Ms. Lozano offered 1333 and 1344 -- 34, rather -- and then withdraw withdrew the offer. She later indicated again on page 752, "I have offered them into evidence. I don't know that there has been a ruling.

I asked are they in evidence. You said they were in the stipulation.

You said, yes, they were in the stipulation. And I said so they're in evidence.

And I was wrong apparently. Now the government have on any number of occasions last week read off a long list — read a stipulation, reading off a long list of exhibits, indicating that there is a stipulation not only as to authenticity but as to admissibility. When I said are they in the stipulation and the response was, yes, they're in the stipulation without the further admonition but the stipulation is only as to authenticity, I did not see the need to go to the

defense table. As we do, we have this little catechism of exhibits offered. I ask to see if there is an objection. If there is no objection, it comes in; if there is an objection, we talk about it.

But clearly my belief, based on the government's representation, is that it was in the stipulation and that the stipulation concerned admissibility as well.

Thereafter the exhibit was shown, was published to the jury, including the "Dear Dechlin, I have received your voice mail and I'm outraged by your decision by Mr. Scott. That message by Mr. Scott at page 753, and it's only on page 754 that Mr. Devlin-Brown indicates I don't think this document is in evidence. At that point it's been published. I think it is improper that it was published to the jury at that point, and on that basis I will strike those two exhibits.

And I'm not striking them for all time. If the government has some other basis upon which they can come in, they can come in, and I'm not now ruling on the other state of mind evidence, although it appears to me on its face that if think there is an adequate basis to admit those exhibits, it seems to me they can be admitted.

On the legal issue as to whether or not the redirect examination was appropriate redirect, I believe that arguably it was. The defense has made an argument through its questioning that Mr. Scott did not or should not -- was not in

the position to know that OneCoin was a fraud, because if he knew it was a fraud he would not have engaged various inspected service providers including fund administrators, law firms, etcetera.

With that, I am happy to instruct the jury along the lines that Mr. Devlin-Brown indicated. I would tell them, however, that at the end of the day they were shown an exhibit, it was inadvertent, and because of that they are not to consider it.

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: That's fine, your Honor. We appreciate the court's ruling.

On the other documents in the government's letter, we would just like an opportunity to go through them individually, because I think there are different circumstances for different documents, and I don't know which ones if any the government believes need to come into evidence today or what the witness order is today and how that ranks among the other evidentiary disputes we may have. But we would like to address them.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine. I understand that there are stipulations concerning authenticity, but that doesn't get you across the finish line; you still have to get someone to make sure that it comes in.

MR. DIMASE: Just clarifying the points. Is the Court striking both the exhibits and the portion of the redirect testimony regarding the exhibits? Is that the Court's

intention? Just so that we're clear.

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. DIMASE: OK. But the portion regarding -- the remainder of the redirect will not be stricken.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. DIMASE: Only the portion regarding those two exhibits.

THE COURT: Correct.

MR. DIMASE: Very good.

I would say that the government does intend to introduce those exhibits again for the same reasons that we articulated today. If the Court wants to defer a ruling until the government offers them, obviously that's fine, but I just want to put the Court and defense on notice that we intend to introduce all the exhibits that were attached to our letter, and it will likely not be today, depending on how things go. I may even be probably tomorrow.

THE COURT: That's fine. That's fine.

MR. DIMASE: So one other brief issue that maybe we can deal with before the jury comes in, your Honor, relates to certain testimony from a Locke Lord witness, and this other chart I handed up with the color flags on it is related.

Mr. Devlin-Brown has indicated that he is going to object to certain testimony from the Locke Lord witness really in the form of e-mail exhibits. It's not a lot of personal

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

knowledge on this transaction, that \$5 million was transferred into a Locke Lord escrow account and back out to a different account, which the government asserts is part of the money laundering conduct in this case. And what the evidence will show is on the left of this chart the money originates from OneCoin sources, it's layered through two accounts that are held by Gilbert Armenta in the name of Zala Group. It is then sent into Locke Lord in two separate transfers from two separate banks totaling around \$5 million, and within two weeks it's sent back out in a single transfer to another Zala Group account, this one in Dubai where the money originated from So, it goes from Dubai OneCoin accounts to Armenta U.S. accounts, and then in a space of two weeks into a Locke Lord escrow account with Mr. Scott's direction, and then back out to a different Zala Group account bank in Dubai. is core evidence of the charged crimes, your Honor, that Mr. Armenta, who has been established a key co-conspirator in this case, another money launderer for OneCoin, the money is coming from OneCoin in the first instance, and it's our position that this is core direct evidence of the charged crimes and that is absolutely admissible.

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Your Honor, we are aware of a I believe \$33 million payment that Ruja Ignatova or people associated with her pay into Locke Lord and that the government has an argument with respect to that.

This was sort of new to us. You know, Gilbert Armenta had been a long-time client of Mr. Scott's. The e-mails on their face relate to other legal work that Mr. Armenta is doing with Mr. Scott. Mr. Armenta is not going to be testifying.

So, you have these e-mails, some of which may have hearsay in them as well that, you know, talk about some unrelated transfer. Now, I guess the government wants to trace the money there, but I should also point out it looks like one of those transfers, JPMC to Northern Trust -- one of those transfers from JPMC to Northern Trust, that's still within Locke Lord, and then it goes out to another account of Zala Group.

But I just don't know what the government's basis is to say this is part of the criminal conduct since Gilbert Armenta is not going to be testifying. I haven't seen e-mails or other documents in which this transaction is discussed in a criminal sense. It looks like just a transfer for another legal project into the Locke Lord escrow account.

MR. DIMASE: Your Honor, we do e-mails connecting this with Armenta that we intend to put in as well where they're talking about this transfer on the back end. It's OneCoin money and it's flowing through a coconspirator's account into Locke Lord and out to another account at Mr. Scott's direction. I can't imagine a more relevant set of evidence than showing money, criminal proceeds went through accounts at the direction of Mark Scott.

```
JBC7SCO1
               THE COURT: I will allow it. The jury is here, so I'm
 1
      going to get dressed and come right back out.
 2
 3
                (Continued on next page)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1 (Jury present)

THE COURT: Everyone can be seated. I trust everyone had a wonderful weekend. Thank you as always for being so prompt. There is just a little bit of work I need to do with you before we get another witness on the stand.

At the end of the day on Friday on redirect examination Mr. Spendiff was questioned concerning two exhibits, Exhibits 1333 and 1334, having to do with a law firm. Those exhibits were put before you inadvertently. I should not have allowed those exhibits to go before you, so accordingly you should disregard those exhibits and all of the testimony concerning those exhibits. OK?

And with that, government please call your next witness.

MS. LOZANO: The government calls Linda Cohen.

MR. GARVIN: Your Honor, I apologize. May I request a very brief side bar before there witness commences?

THE COURT: Sure.

(Continued on next page)

(At the side bar)

MR. GARVIN: Your Honor, I apologize, but I was reading over this witness's 3500 material, and I noticed that this witness made a statement during her interviews that her husband passed away and that there were no insurance proceeds. I don't know if the government actually addressed that issue, but we would ask that those two statements be avoided because they just elicit sympathy and prejudice Mr. Scott, and so that's our position.

MS. LOZANO: I am not planning to elicit that testimony. I wasn't going to ask any questions about that. I was simply going to ask whether she was married.

MR. GARVIN: OK, thank you.

(Continued on next page)

1 (In open court) LINDA COHEN, 2 3 called as a witness by the government, 4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 5 THE COURT: Ma'am, you can be seated. Please speak 6 directly into the microphone. And if I could ask to you begin 7 by stating your full name and spelling your first and last 8 name. 9 THE WITNESS: Linda Cohen, L-i-n-d-a C-o-h-e-n. 10 THE COURT: And if you could also keep your voice up because it's a cavernous room and it's sometimes difficult to 11 12 hear. 13 Ms. Lozano. 14 MS. LOZANO: Thank you, your Honor. At this time the 15 government offers Exhibits GX 731A, consisting of HSBC records, and GX 723A, 723B, 723C, 723D, 723E and 723F, consisting of a 16 17 TD Bank records into evidence. 18 THE COURT: Any objection? 19 MR. GARVIN: No objection. 20 THE COURT: Those exhibits will be received. 21 (Government Exhibits GX 731A, GX 723A, 723B, 723C, 22 723D, 723E and 723F received in evidence) DIRECT EXAMINATION 23

24

- Good morning, Ms. Cohen. Ο.
- Good morning. Α.

- Where do you live? Q.
- I live in Manhattan, Upper West Side. 2 Α.
- 3 And if you could keep your voice up and speak into the
- 4 microphone. The courtroom is a little bit echoey. And how old
- 5 are you, Ms. Cohen?
- I'm 76. 6 Α.
- 7 Are you currently married? Are you currently married?
- 8 Α. No, I'm a widow.
- 9 Do you have any children? Q.
- 10 Yes, I have two sons. Α.
- 11 Do you have any grandchildren?
- 12 Yes, I have four grandchildren.
- 13 Do your sons live in New York? 0.
- 14 Know, they don't. One lives in Seattle, Washington, and my Α.
- other son just moved back to St. Thomas, Virgin Islands. 15
- Are you currently working? 16
- 17 Yes, I am. Α.
- 18 What kind of work do you do?
- I teach. 19 Α.
- 20 What do I teach? 0.
- 21 Α. I teach art.
- 22 To whom? What age level? Q.
- 23 I teach junior high school and high school students that
- 24 are presently at Bellevue hospital.
- 25 How long have you been doing that?

- I have been teaching in New York since I returned in 1998. Α.
- 2 You say when you returned. Where did you return from? Q.
- 3 I used to live in St. Thomas, U.S. Virgin Islands. Α.
- Are you familiar with an entity called OneCoin? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. Yes, I am.
- How did you first become aware of OneCoin? 6 0.
- 7 My older son told me about it. Α.
- What did your older son do for a living? 8 Q.
- 9 Well, right now they just moved. He does massage, and I'm
- 10 not sure at this time what he is doing. I haven't spoken to
- 11 him about it.
- 12 OK. When did your son introduce you to OneCoin,
- 13 approximately what year?
- 14 It was about four years ago approximately. Α.
- So would that make it 2015? 15 Q.
- 2015 or '16. Something like that. 16 Α.
- 17 And how did your son introduce you to OneCoin? Q.
- 18 He told me that a good friend had introduced him to it and
- 19 thought it would be good for me to retire.
- 20 Do you know what your son's friend do for a living? 0.
- 21 No, I don't. Α.
- 22 Have you heard the term multi-level marketing? Ο.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 And has your son been involved in any multi-level marketing
- 25 enterprises?

- One other through this same gentleman. I think it was 1 2 called Trinan.
- 3 So through the same friend who introduced him to OneCoin?
- Correct, yes. 4 Α.
- 5 So what did your son tell you about OneCoin?
- That it was cyber currency And that it was at the 6 Α.
- 7 beginning, pretty much the start of it, and that it seemed to
- be increasing in value. 8
- 9 Q. Did you have an understanding about what cyber currency
- 10 meant, what that was?
- 11 Just a little. I had heard of bitcoin.
- 12 And what had you heard?
- 13 Just that it was cyber currency and that it was valuable, Α.
- 14 that it had started at very low and now it was worth thousands
- of dollars or whatever at the time. 15
- What did your son tell you about his participation with the 16
- 17 OneCoin?
- 18 Well, his friend had given him a coin.
- A coin? 19 Q.
- 20 No, I think it was about \$2,000 worth of OneCoin.
- 21 So what did you do -- well, did you ask your son any Q.
- 22 questions about OneCoin?
- 23 Well, I told him that I really disliked multi-level
- 24 marketing but I would be interested if he would take care of
- 25 I would give him the money to deal with it and he would it.

- take care of it. 1
- What do you mean by take care of it? 2
- 3 He would do all the paperwork, and all I wanted to know is
- 4 did it increase in value, how would I be able to get my money
- 5 out of it.
- 6 Did you get answers to those questions?
- 7 As time went on a little bit.
- OK. So before we get to that, after your son introduced 8 Q.
- 9 you to OneCoin, did you ultimately purchase a package?
- 10 Α. I did.
- 11 Do you remember how much that package was?
- 12 Α. It was a lot.
- 13 The first. 0.
- 14 Initially I gave him \$5,000. Α.
- 15 Q. How did you give that to him?
- I sent him a check. 16 Α.
- And what did you tell him to do with that? 17 Q.
- To start it for me. 18 Α.
- 19 Had you at that point done any independent research about
- 20 OneCoin?
- 21 A. No, I depended on what I heard from him. My son wouldn't
- 22 try to lead me astray. Had he known, I'm sure he wouldn't have
- 23 suggested I do this.
- 24 At that point did you have a computer in your own home?
- 25 Α. Yes.

6

7

8

9

17

18

19

20

21

- And after you sent your \$5,000 to your son, what did he do 1 with it? 2
- 3 A. Well, he invested it and told me how I could look into the 4 back office and see for myself.
  - Q. When you use the term back office, what do you mean by that?
    - A. Well, it's suggested that you watch videos and learn a little bit more about the company. And there were a lot of things in it that I really didn't understand.
- 10 Did you watch a video? Ο.
- I did watch videos. 11 I did.
- 12 Can you describe some of those videos?
- It's a while back. There were a lot about this very 13 Α. 14 brilliant woman who started the whole OneCoin, and they showed 15 a lot of people at parties where they were so happy to be 16 making money.
  - Q. Did you have an understanding how an investor was to make money from OneCoin?
  - A. Well, I assumed that as the coin increased in value that's where the money would be made. Whatever it started at, let's say it started at \$2.25, every time it went up in value that was a gain; that's where you make your money.
- 23 What was your understanding about how the coin would 24 increase in value?
- 25 I understand that there would be -- they were mining, and

- it was limited to the amount of coins that would be available.
- Where did you learn that from? Q.
- 3 I'm not sure if it was from the videos or how I learned it. Α.
- After -- now, you said your son set you up and you were 4 Q.
- 5 able to access the back office. Can you describe to me what
- your back office looked like, what you saw. 6
- 7 There was a lot in there, but a lot of it, like I
- said, I didn't quite understand, and it just showed how many 8
- 9 coins were available. That's why I asked my son to take care
- 10 of it, because he would understand better than myself.
- 11 Did you access the OneCoin site and your account on your
- 12 own?
- 13 I did initially, but then I stopped. Α.
- 14 When you did that after your initial investment, how many Q.
- 15 OneCoins, if you remember, did you have?
- No. I just would ask him what the value was, and I wanted 16
- 17 to know how I could get my initial investment back.
- 18 And do you remember when you first invested in OneCoin what
- the stated value of each coin was? 19
- 20 I believe it was \$2.25 or \$2.50, somewhere in that area.
- 21 It was quite reasonable.
- 22 Did you have an understanding at that point about the role
- 23 of tokens in the OneCoin mining process?
- 24 I believe that there were tokens, some tokens to make up a
- 25 coin, but I don't know -- I don't -- if I knew at the time, I

- don't remember right now.
- What did you get for that initial investment? 2 Q.
- 3 Just the ability to go into the back office. Later on I
- was able to get a card, a debit card, but I was never able to 4
- 5 use it.

- When you made that initial investment, did you receive --6
- 7 do you remember whether you received any token?
- 8 Α. I received nothing.
- 9 Well, I mean --Q.
- 10 Α. In hand.
- 11 Let me rephrase. Your account, did your account reflect
- 12 that you had tokens in it?
- 13 I believe so. Α.
- 14 Do you remember how many? Q.
- 15 Α. No.
- Did your account at that point reflect that there were 16
- 17 OneCoins in the account?
- A. Yes. 18
- 19 Do you remember? Q.
- 20 Well, it was one under -- I would go in under OneCoin, the
- 21 website, but I don't remember amounts.
- 22 Q. Did you receive -- do you remember receiving any
- 23 educational packages with your OneCoins and tokens?
- 24 Α. No, I got nothing.
- 25 After you made -- well, what was your understanding about

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

- 1 the ability -- your ability to take out your investment once it was made? 2
- 3 Well, more recently --Α.
- 4 At that time, I'm sorry. At that time what was your Q. 5 understanding about your ability to take the money out?
  - I didn't have -- I didn't have a way to get it out. Α.
  - But what was your understanding about whether you would be able to get that out?
    - I don't remember exactly when I was told, but they were going to go public, and once OneCoin would go public at that time I would be able to get my initial investment out; and the time for it to go public kept changing.
    - And who was telling you these things about OneCoin?
- 14 The person I had spoke with was my son who was getting the 15 information from other people.
  - Did you yourself go on the OneCoin site to update yourself on going public?
  - I know that I didn't do, no. I listened to him. He was getting the information, so I just allowed that.
  - What was your understanding about your ability to exchange OneCoins for other kind of currency?
- 22 A. Well, he told me -- my son told me that there would be 23 companies that would accept cyber currency, OneCoin in 24 particular, in exchange for purchases, which I had a hard time 25 understanding.

- Q. Did that ever happen? Were you ever able to do that?
- A. No, not for me. I don't know about other people, but not for me.
- Q. After you made that initial \$5,000 purchase, did you make another OneCoin purchase?
  - A. Yes: Yes, I did.
- 7 | Q. And when was that?
- A. I believe -- I looked at some of the records. I believe -
  9 was that February? I'm not sure, but I think it was 2016.
- 10 | Q. Why did you make that second purchase?
- 11 A. Well, being that OneCoin was increasing in value at a

  12 really nice rate I was told that if I purchased by a certain

  13 date I would get a lot more coin. It was a what do you call
- 14 it, it was I guess a gimmick, but at the time I thought it
- would be a good idea to do it because it was increasing in
- 16 | value.
- 17 Q. You mentioned at that point it was increasing in value.
- 18 How do you know that?
- 19 A. Well, I would ask my son how much is it worth now, and he
- 20 would say I'm not sure, I'll find out; and he would let me
- 21 | know.
- 22 | Q. At the time you made the second investment, what was your
- 23 | understanding about the stated value of a OneCoin?
- 24 A. That I don't remember, but it sounded like -- initially I
- 25 | wasn't going to do it, but then I figured, well, if I want to

- retire and it's going to be worth more money, I will invest the 1
- It was sitting in my bank, and I thought let it work 2 monev.
- 3 for me. I didn't know it was not working.
- And who told you about this offer that you then decided to 4
- 5 pursue?
- A. Well, the person that I have been speaking to is my older 6
- 7 son, and he was looking out for my well being, my benefit, and
- he wanted me to retire. 8
- 9 Q. What was your understanding about your ability to purchase
- 10 OneCoin under that kind of special offer period?
- 11 I'm sorry. Could you repeat?
- 12 When you purchased the second package, was there a time
- 13 limit in which you could take advantage?
- 14 Yes, there was a time limit. Α.
- What was that time limit, do you remember? 15 Q.
- I think I purchased it like the day before the time was up. 16
- 17 And who told you about that time limit on the special
- offer? 18
- 19 Well, like I said, the person I was speaking with was my
- 20 son, so it was through him.
- 21 How much did you invest in that second? Q.
- 22 It was \$18,000 purchase but with bank costs it was 22,000.
- 23 Dollars? 0.
- 24 Α. Um-hum.
- 25 Now, your understanding when you made that investment was

- what would happen with the OneCoins you had in your account?
- I thought I would just be adding to it. 2 Α.
- 3 Have you heard the term split? 0.
- No. Split? 4 Α.
- 5 0. Split.
- Well, in terms of IBM stocks and splitting? 6 Α.
- 7 No, in terms of OneCoin. Q.
- No. No, I didn't. 8 Α.
- 9 How did you send the payment for the \$22,000 package? Ο.
- 10 Α. It's a blur. I do not remember. I followed instructions
- 11 but I don't remember the instructions.
- 12 Who gave you those instructions?
- 13 I think I spoke to a friend of my son's, but she didn't Α.
- 14 have a lot of time. I think she just gave me the information.
- 15 Ο. And was that payment made in the same way you made the
- other payment of a check to your son? 16
- 17 No, this was not sent through my son.
- Q. How was it sent? Well, did you send it through your bank 18
- 19 account?
- 20 I think I had to get -- I'm not sure -- I had to get a
- 21 certified check? I'm not sure how it was done.
- 22 MS. LOZANO: I I'd like to publish Exhibit GX 731A in
- 23 evidence and publish to the jury, and that's the first page.
- 24 Q. Ms. Cohen, if you could take a look at the first page and
- 25 let me know. Did you look at this exhibit earlier this

JBC7SC01

1 morning?

- 2 Yes, you showed it to me this morning.
- 3 And what are these records? Whose records are they? 0.
- 4 They're mine. Α.
- 5 For your HSBC Bank account?
- Yes. 6 Α.
- 7 If we could go to page 35, please, Mr. Barile.
- And, Ms. Cohen, there is an entry dated February 17, 8
- 9 2016 which I'm going to highlight. Do you recognize that
- 10 entry?
- 11 Sort of. Sort of.
- 12 What do you recognize it to be?
- 13 It's obviously the amount that I approved to send. Α.
- 14 Well, what is the amount there? Q.
- I'm sorry? 15 Α.
- What is the amount? 16 Ο.
- 17 \$22,577.29. Α.
- 18 Do you see the second highlighted portion of the
- description after the letters BNF? 19
- 20 The SecurePoint. Α.
- 21 And then on the second line is -- well, does SecurePoint
- 22 ring a bell to you?
- 23 A. No.
- 24 How about in the second line, the reference that is
- 25 highlighted US2 moon invoice, does that ring a bell?

4

- No, not really. It's vaque. It's very vaque in my mind.
- 2 When you say it's very vague in your mind, what does it Q. 3 vaquely remind you of?
  - I don't remember. I really don't remember. Α.
- 5 One last highlighted portion of this entry is the last line 6 where there is a number EF. Can you read that number that's
- 7 highlighted?
- 048442142. 8 Α.
- 9 Q. And I believe the EF is connected to the line before with the R, so it actually should read REF. Do you remember whether
- this transaction was a certified check or a wire? Do you 11
- 12 remember how the money was sent?
- 13 I really don't. Α.
- 14 And when you sent the payment, were you instructed to
- 15 include any sort of comment about what the payment was for?
- I don't remember. This is like a blur in my mind. I don't 16
- 17 remember what I was instructed to do.
- Q. OK. We can take that down, Mr. Barile. And I'd like now 18
- 19 to show to the witness and publish to the jury what is in
- 20 evidence as Exhibit GX 723E, page 452 to 453, so if we can put
- 21 them right next to each other.
- 22 So, Ms. Cohen, you have not seen this exhibit before,
- 23 right?
- 24 Α. No.
- So I'd like to direct your attention to the bottom of 25 Q. OK.

Cohen - direct

- the page on the left, and I am going to highlight this an 1
- That amount, is that the amount that you sent in 2 amount.
- 3 connection with your OneCoin package?
- I believe so. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. And at the top of this zoomed-in portion there is a date, a
- wire date, February 17, 2016. 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- Is this the date that you sent money in connection with 8
- 9 your OneCoin purchase?
- 10 A. Must have been.
- 11 Well, do you remember in the previous exhibit your HSBC
- 12 account, do you remember the date on that?
- 13 I didn't look at the date. It probably was -- could you Α.
- 14 show it to me?
- 15 Q. Yes, of course.
- 16 Can we just pull that up quickly on 731A, and we are
- 17 looking at page 35.
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 It's actually the top transfer there. Q.
- 20 Α. Yes.
- 21 Transaction. Q.
- 22 Α. This is the same thing.
- 23 So let's go back now to 723E, 452, and if we could zoom in
- 24 to the bottom section again. Perfect. And who is the
- 25 beneficiary of this \$22,577.29 transfer?

- SecurePoint 360. Α.
- And the fourth line from the bottom there is a name. 2 Q.
- 3 that your name?
- 4 Α. Yes.

- Q. And above that, two lines, there is a reference to US2 moon 5
- 6 invoice.
- 7 That was probably where I was supposed to send it to.
- So if we could zoom out and then go to the top of the next 8
- 9 page and zoom in to that. And there is a field that says
- 10 originator. Is that you?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 And there is a reference number, and that reference number
- 13 is 048442142, right?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And the sender bank is HSBC, your banker. Then I'm going
- to highlight the last field that says wire date February 17, 16
- 17 2016. Does that refresh your recollection about how you made a
- 18 payment for your second OneCoin package?
- I remember sitting doing it, but I do not remember anything 19
- 20 else about it.
- 21 Q. Does that refresh your recollection that it was a wire
- 22 versus a certified check?
- 23 A. Yes.
- 24 Ο. We can take that down. Thank you.
- 25 Now, why did you buy that package?

- Why did I buy it? Α.
- Yes, what was your goal in buying that package? 2 Q.
- 3 Well, I thought it would make more money for retirement. Α.
- How much money did you think you were going to earn? 4 Q.
- 5 It depended on the value of the coin, so I would have to do
- 6 multiplication, but it sounded reasonable, and it sounded good,
- 7 otherwise I wouldn't have done it.
- Did you subsequently buy another package? 8
- 9 I thought -- I don't know if it was subsequent or prior
- 10 to -- but my younger son, I thought, well, if it's going to be
- 11 so good, I would like him to have something as well, and so I
- 12 got some for him.
- 13 And to be clear, when you purchased that package for your
- 14 son, you did not earn a commission for doing that.
- 15 Α. No.
- How did you make that payment? 16 0.
- 17 I sent a check to my older son to sign him up. Α.
- 18 How much was that package worth? Q.
- 19 I don't think it was a package; it was just to purchase Α.
- 20 coin. I think it was around 5.
- 21 5,000? Q.
- 22 Α. Yeah.
- 23 So your understanding is that you could send money in just
- 24 to purchase coin?
- 25 Yes, to open up an account for him.

- And where did you get that understanding?
- Well, I had done it for myself through -- my son did it for 2 Α.
- 3 me, but I thought he would be able to do it for my son, his
- 4 brother.

- 5 Q. During the period of time that you had this OneCoin
- account, what did you notice about the value of the coin in 6
- 7 your account?
- 8 A. You mean did I notice if it was going -- I didn't keep
- 9 track, but I heard from my son the value of it.
- 10 Ο. Well --
- 11 I told you I didn't really want anything, I didn't want to
- 12 have to do anything as far as the business part of it.
- 13 What did you notice about the value of the OneCoin in your
- 14 account? Was the value going up or down, or did it vacillate?
- 15 Α. I really didn't look at it. I didn't quite understand how
- they were dealing with the back office, all the information, so 16
- 17 I allowed my son to do that for me.
- 18 Were you ever told --0.
- 19 I was just going to use the money to live. Α.
- 20 Were you ever told that the value of a OneCoin went down? 0.
- 21 Α. No.
- 22 Were you ever told that the value of OneCoin went up? Ο.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 How often were you told that? 0.
- 25 When I asked. Α.

- Q. Every time you asked?
- A. Yeah. I would ask how it was doing, what was the value.
- 3 Q. What was your understanding about the value of your entire
- 4 account? How much did you think you had in there?
- 5 A. Well, based on the investment at that time -- the last time
- 6 I heard it was \$25 a coin, so I never did the multiplication,
- 7 | but I did try to find out how to get -- get my initial
- 8 | investment back and then whatever was left, let it work itself.
- 9 Q. Why did you want to do that?
- 10 A. Because I wanted my initial investment back. As long as it
- 11 | was making money, let it continue with what was left, and I
- 12 | would have my money back in my account.
- 13 | Q. What did you do in order to try to get your initial
- 14 | investment back?
- 15 A. Well, I asked how I could do that, and at the time, even
- 16 | with the card that I received, I was not able to put any money
- 17 on it or any coin on it. And, like I said, I was told it was
- 18 going to go public, and once that happened then I would have
- 19 more flexibility.
- 20 | Q. So let's back up a little bit. Approximately when did you
- 21 decide that you wanted to withdraw your initial investment?
- 22 | How many months after you paid the 22,000 and change?
- 23 | A. In the last two years, so probably a year or two after
- 24 approximately.
- 25 | Q. What efforts did you make to get the money back?

- Cohen direct
- I just asked how I could do it. 1
- Who did you ask? 2 Q.
- 3 My son. He was the one I had the most, you know,
- 4 information from.
- 5 And what was your understanding about the efforts he took?
- Like I said, he told me it was going to go public, and then 6
- 7 once it went public then I would be able to get it. But the
- time it was going to go public kept changing. 8
- 9 Q. So it's your understanding that you were unable to withdraw
- 10 your initial investment until it went public?
- 11 Α. Right.
- 12 And in that period of time when were you waiting to
- 13 withdraw your money you referenced a card you received. Please
- 14 describe that card.
- 15 I can't find it, but it was like a credit card or debit
- 16 card.
- 17 Who gave you that card? 0.
- 18 Α. I sent for it.
- How did you send for it? 19 Q.
- 20 I was given the information, and I followed the
- 21 information. I received it, but I don't remember who I sent it
- 22 to.
- 23 So, in order to get the card, did you work through your
- 24 online account and the back office?
- 25 I don't think so. I don't think so.

- 1 What was your understanding about what the card
- 2 represented?
- 3 A. It represented ability to put a coin on it and use it for
- purchases. I really didn't want to do that; I wanted to get 4
- 5 cash out of it.
- So when you received the card, what did you do with it? 6
- 7 I held onto it, but there was nothing I could do with it.
- Did you try to load it with your OneCoin? 8
- 9 At the time I wasn't able to. Α.
- 10 How did you try to do that? Ο.
- 11 I said how can I do this? And I don't remember receiving
- 12 any information on that.
- 13 So, what did you do with that card? 0.
- I put it away, but I can't find it. 14 Α.
- 15 Q. Were you ever able to exchange any of your OneCoin into
- 16 cash?
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 Q. Were you ever able to exchange any of your OneCoin into
- 19 other currency?
- 20 Α. No.
- 21 You ever able to exchange any of your OneCoin and purchase
- 22 something of value?
- 23 Α. No.
- 24 Now, you mentioned that when you first heard about OneCoin
- 25 you became familiar with the person who started it, the founder

JBC7SCO1 Cohen - direct of OneCoin, a woman? A. Please ask me that again. (Continued on next page) 

- Cohen Direct
- 1 Let me back up. Are you familiar with a person by the name
- 2 of Ruja Ignatova?
- 3 Just through the videos.
- Who is she? 4 Q.
- She was supposed to be this brilliant woman who started the 5
- OneCoin. 6
- 7 What did you learn -- where did you learn about her?
- Just on the videos. 8
- 9 Did you ever -- you mentioned that you viewed some other
- 10 OneCoin videos. Did you actually ever attend a OneCoin event?
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Are you able to -- currently, are you able to access your
- 13 OneCoin account?
- 14 I haven't tried. Α.
- 15 Ο. What was the total then that you had invested into OneCoin,
- 16 including for your son?
- 17 Other than the bank charges, it would have been a lot.
- \$28,000, plus the bank charges. 18
- 19 Did there come a time when you came to believe that OneCoin
- 20 was not a good investment and was in fact fraudulent?
- When I received a call from the FBI. 21
- 22 Ο. When was that?
- 23 About a month ago. Α.
- 24 How has your inability to withdraw your investments and the
- loss of that money affected you? 25

Cohen - Cross

- Well, I'm 76 years old and I'm still working. I would love 1 2 to retire.
- 3 MS. LOZANO: One moment. I have nothing further for 4 this witness. Thank you, Ms. Cohen.
  - THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- MR. GARVIN: Yes, your Honor. Thank you. 6
- 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- BY MR. GARVIN: 8

5

- Good morning, Ms. Cohen. Ο.
- 10 Α. Good morning.
- 11 My name is David Garvin.
- David what? 12 Α.
- 13 Garvin. Ο.
- 14 Ms. Cohen, I'd like to go over some of the things that
- you said this morning, if I may. 15
- 16 Α. Okay.
- 17 So, if I understand you correctly, you actually learned
- about OneCoin from your son; is that correct? 18
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 And this occurred some time at the end of 2015 or maybe
- 21 beginning of 2016, correct?
- 22 I believe it was the end of 2015.
- 23 Q. Your son explained to you a little bit about what
- 24 cryptocurrency was, correct?
- 25 Well, he just explained more of the benefits for me.

Cohen - Cross

- 1 | Q. He said or told you that it was cryptocurrency, was like
- 2 | bitcoin, correct?
- 3 A. Well, they were both cryptocurrency, but I don't remember
- 4 | him saying that.
- 5 | Q. Well, at the time, you had heard of bitcoin, right?
- 6 A. Yes, I had.
- 7 Q. At the time, it was your understanding that OneCoin was
- 8 | similar to bitcoin in that they were both cryptocurrencies,
- 9 correct?
- 10 A. Correct, hmm-hmm.
- 11 | Q. And at that time, you had never heard of OneCoin, right?
- 12 A. That's correct.
- 13 Q. So, the only thing that you knew, back in December of 2015,
- 14 was that OneCoin was similar to bitcoin, right?
- 15 A. That it was cryptocurrency.
- 16 | Q. Okay. And at the time, you believed that bitcoin was a
- 17 | legitimate company, right?
- 18 A. Bitcoin had nothing to do with this. But, yes.
- 19 Q. Okay. And I think that you said on direct examination that
- 20 | bitcoin had started low and went high in value, right?
- 21 A. Right. That's what I had been told.
- 22 | Q. You were hoping that OneCoin would do the same thing,
- 23 || right?
- 24 A. Yes, yes.
- 25 | Q. This was just an opportunity to get a return on your

JBC3SCO2

- investment, right?
- 2 Α. Yes.

- 3 Q. Now, counsel had asked you if you had ever attended a
- OneCoin event. Have you ever attended a OneCoin event in 4
- 5 Idaho?
- A. No, I don't think so. I attended a Trenant event, but not 6
- 7 a OneCoin. It was a group of people that had purchased
- Trenant, which was another multilevel marketing company. 8
- 9 I see. Ma'am, do you remember being interviewed by a O. Oh.
- 10 special agent in this case back in October?
- Do you remember being interviewed by one of the 11
- 12 special agents in this case in October?
- 13 October what? Α.
- 14 In 2019. Q.
- 15 Α. Well, this is -- that's when I was first called.
- 16 0. Yes, ma'am.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 At that time, did you tell him that you and your son
- attended a OneCoin event in Idaho? 19
- 20 I don't remember telling him that. Because I don't
- 21 remember attending one.
- 22 Q. Well, the one thing we know is that some time in or about
- 23 December 2015, you invested \$5,000, correct?
- 24 I don't know the exact date, but I did invest 5,000. Α. Yes.
- 25 At the time, it would be fair to say that you understood

- Cohen Cross
- that OneCoin operated on a multilevel marketing basis; is that 1 2 correct?
- 3 If you wanted to do it that way, yes. You didn't have to.
- You said that you had or your son had some of limited 4 Q.
- 5 experience with multilevel marketing company in the past,
- right? 6
- 7 Α. Right.
- You also told the ladies and gentlemen of the jury that you 8
- 9 watched some videos about OneCoin on the internet, right?
- 10 Through the -- yes. Through the back offices. Α.
- 11 What you would see on those videos is that you would see
- 12 people who were excited; isn't that right?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 And they appeared to be enthused because they were making
- 15 money with OneCoin, right?
- 16 Α. That's correct.
- 17 So that gave you the impression that there were other
- 18 people who were successfully making money with this company,
- 19 correct?
- 20 Α. Yes, I quess so.
- 21 So, in February as we've just seen, you spoke with your son
- 22 about OneCoin, right?
- 23 I don't have dates, but yes.
- 24 After speaking with your son, you decided to send in an
- 25 additional approximately \$22,000, right?

Α. Yes.

- 2 We've seen that this morning, right? Q.
- 3 Correct. Α.
- Prior to sending in that \$22,000, you had seen a video that 4 Q.
- described Ruja Ignatova's qualifications, correct? 5
- Her qualifications for what? 6 Α.
- 7 That she was the inventor of OneCoin, the founder; is that
- 8 right?
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 And I think you described it as the video said that she was
- 11 brilliant, right?
- 12 I understood that, yes.
- 13 And so, the combination of your son's advice and what you
- saw on these videos, that is what led you to make the 14
- 15 additional investment of \$22,000, right?
- A. Well, it was also a special deal where you got more for 16
- 17 your money. More coin for your money.
- 18 That's right. There was a type of promotion that was going
- 19 on?
- 20 Promotion, that's correct. Α.
- 21 It was those three things, together, that led you to make
- 22 the additional investment, correct?
- 23 I don't think she had anything to do with it.
- 24 All right. Now, it would be accurate to state that you
- 25 have never spoken with my client, Mr. Mark Scott; is that

- right, ma'am?
- 2 That's correct. Α.
- 3 In fact, it would be fair to say that you never saw
- Mr. Scott in any of those OneCoin videos that you watched; 4
- 5 isn't that true?
- I wouldn't know. I don't know him. 6
- 7 Well, you never communicated with Mr. Scott by e-mail,
- 8 correct?
- 9 Correct. Α.
- 10 The same thing would be true that you've never received or
- 11 sent any text message or any other type of communication with
- 12 Mr. Scott; isn't that true?
- I don't -- I don't know who he is. I don't know who 13
- received the money. 14
- 15 Q. Okay. So, you saw the records that were introduced, the
- 16 bank records, showing today where your money went, right?
- 17 A. Yeah.
- 18 Q. It would not surprise you that Mr. Scott's name is not on
- 19 any of those records; is that right?
- 20 That's correct. Α.
- 21 So, in fact -- Mark, could you please stand up.
- 22 This is Mr. Mark Scott. Today is the first time that
- 23 you've ever laid eyes on him; isn't that true, ma'am?
- 24 Α. I don't know the man.
- 25 So, it would be fair to say that my client, Mark Scott, did

Cohen - Redirect

- 1 | not influence your decision to invest in OneCoin in any way;
- 2 | isn't that true?
- 3 A. I assume it would be true.
- 4 Q. So, sitting here today, you do not have any personal
- 5 | knowledge of Mark Scott, my client, doing anything improper;
- 6 | isn't that true?

8

18

- 7 A. I have no -- I cannot say that.
  - Q. You have no knowledge of him at all. Correct?
- 9 A. I have no knowledge of him at all. I don't know if he's done anything good or bad.
- MR. GARVIN: Thank you, ma'am. I have no further questions.
- 13 THE COURT: Redirect?
- 14 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 15 BY MS. LOZANO:
- 16  $\parallel$  Q. Ms. Cohen -- if we can put up, Mr. Barile, 731A, page 35.
- Ms. Cohen, on cross-examination, you were asked about

the 22,577.29 wire that you sent to -- in connection with your

- 19 purchase of OneCoin. Were you instructed to indicate on that
- 20 wire what the purpose of purchase was?
- 21 A. I believe so.
- 22 MR. GARVIN: Excuse me. Objection, your Honor.
- 23 | Exceeds the scope of direct or, excuse me, cross.
- 24 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 25 Q. You can answer.

this time exhibits GX 2901, 2902, 2903, 2905, 2906, which are Morgan Stanley e-mail records into evidence. MR. GARVIN: Your Honor, we need a moment to review those because we haven't seen those previously.

23

24

4

5

6

THE COURT: Very well.

MR. GARVIN: We can come back to that in a little bit. 2

3 SENEM FIRUZ,

called as a witness by the Government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

- DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 7 BY MS. LOZANO:
- 8 Q. Good morning.
- 9 Good morning. Α.
- 10 Where do you work? Q.
- 11 Α. Morgan Stanley.
- 12 How long have you worked at Morgan Stanley?
- 13 Α. About 10 years.
- 14 Q. For those of us who don't know, what does Morgan Stanley
- do? 15
- A. We are a brokerage firm. So we help clients' investment 16
- 17 accounts.
- What is your position currently at Morgan Stanley? 18
- I'm part of risk and control right now, which is a project 19
- 20 manager role.
- 21 Q. What are your current duties and responsibilities in that
- 22 role?
- 23 I work on multiple projects, so things that we want to do
- 24 in the firm, anything we want to change, whether it be manager
- 25 roles, it could be training manuals we need to create. I also

- 1 | handle, if there are things we realize on the field, like
- 2 | there's training needed in a certain area, I would be
- 3 responsible for creating that.
- 4 | Q. How long have you been in your current role?
- 5 A. Actually about two months.
- 6 Q. Before then, what were you doing?
- 7 A. I was a business service manager in the downtown Miami
- 8 | branch of Morgan Stanley.
- 9 | Q. What is your educational background?
- 10 A. I went to school at Ohio State, and I received my degree in
- 11 | finance and accounting.
- 12 | Q. What year did you graduate from Ohio State?
- 13 A. Oh God. I'm dating myself. It's such a long time ago. I
- 14 | think it was 2006.
- 15 | Q. Did you immediately go to work after graduating or did you
- 16 study more?
- 17 | A. I did not study more. I did have a couple of other jobs
- 18 prior to Morgan Stanley. But my first financial firm job would
- 19 | have been Morgan Stanley.
- 20 | Q. Directing your attention to June of 2016. Where were you
- 21 working at that time?
- 22 | A. In downtown Miami branch of Morgan Stanley.
- 23 | Q. Describe your duties and responsibilities at the Miami
- 24 branch.
- 25 A. I was an operations manager, which means, we call it a

- 1 | business service manager, so anything that deals with service
- 2 | at the branch, I would be responsible for. That could be
- 3 | anything from an approval standpoint, whether it be
- 4 | transactions, or it could be an operational function like
- 5 covering a trader, as well as I manage the support staff which
- 6 are the assistants to the financial advisors.
- 7 | Q. I want to talk to you a little bit about Morgan Stanley's
- 8 policies and requirements. Are you familiar with Morgan
- 9 | Stanley's policies regarding wire transfers?
- 10 | A. I am.
- 11 | Q. Please describe the wire process, wire request process at
- 12 | Morgan Stanley.
- 13 | A. Currently or in 2016?
- 14 | Q. In 2016, and then you can then tell me how it's changed.
- 15 | A. Okay. So, in 2016, any wire that was over \$100,000 would
- 16 have required a signed request from the client, and that cannot
- 17 | be an electronic signature. It would need to be signed by the
- 18 client and sent to us. Currently that has changed to a lower
- 19 | amount. It's 50,000 now.
- 20 Q. What is the method by which Morgan Stanley gets that
- 21 | authorization from the client?
- 22 | A. It could be via e-mail, certain clients will fax it, it
- 23 | could be through mail technically. But generally, clients will
- 24 | forward those documents to us via e-mail.
- 25 Q. Do those documents have a specific special name?

8

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

- Letters of authorizations.
- Who is authorized to send a letter of authorization in 2 Ο. 3 terms of account holders?
- 4 So, any authorized individual on the account. That said, a 5 lot of times with high net worth clients, especially if you're dealing with entity accounts, you might have an assistant that 6 7 sends the letter of authorization and they'll actually cc the
- 9 Q. Where does the wire request first come when it is sent to 10 Morgan Stanley?
- 11 It goes to the team, that could be the financial advisor or the assistant to the financial advisor. 12
- 13 What is a financial advisor? Ο.

authorized individual on the e-mails.

- A. He is the person that handles client accounts and client portfolios. So ultimately he is the relationship manager for that relationship.
- Q. After receiving that request, what are the responsibilities for the financial advisor?
- Generally, when they receive a request via e-mail, they're required to do a callback and verbally confirm the instructions that are on that letter of authorization with the authorized individual on the account.
- 23 What is the reason for Morgan Stanley's policy requiring a 24 signed letter of authorization and callback?
  - Well, I think the main reason is to make sure that the

- authorized individual in fact requested this wire. That's why 1 2 we generally perform the callback.
  - Q. You mentioned that Morgan Stanley, you described it as a brokerage. Is it FDIC insured?
  - It is. Α.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Aside from the wire amount limits that require letters of authorization, is there a difference between the policies that were in place in June of 2016 versus now?
  - A. Aside from the amount, we do have additional ways in which a wire can be processed. But from a policy perspective, if you send a letter of authorization today, our policy is still the same.
- Q. Let's go back to June 2016 and Miami downtown Morgan Stanley branch.
- I'd like to please publish Exhibit 711A, pages 18 and 23 next to each other.
- Now, as a branch manager at Morgan Stanley, are you familiar with the account records that Morgan Stanley keeps?
- 19 Α. I am.
- 20 Those records include what kinds of documents? 0. Okav.
- 21 So in this case this is a client account agreement. It is 22 the basic account agreement you would have to have on file to 23 have what we call an active assets account at Morgan Stanley.
- 24 What is an active assets account? Ο.
  - It is a brokerage account still. So it is an account where

- you hold your investments, but you can also have some banking capabilities within it, like debit cards and checks.
  - Q. And this Exhibit 711A is for what account number?
- 4 A. So, originally, this was the 658046484. And it is for
- 5 Fates Group LLC and it looks like it was shared across two
- 6  $\parallel$  accounts that are same name. Also for 658047250.
- 7 | Q. What does that mean if the account is shared?
- 8 A. If I have a personal account just under my name and I
- 9 decide to open a second account also under my name, I can share
- 10 | the documents between the two, so I wouldn't have to re-sign a
- 11 | client account document. Assuming that they're opened within a
- 12 | reasonable amount of time within each other.
- 13 | Q. So, for these accounts, the numbers that you just read off
- 14 | that are on the top of page 18, who is the account holder for
- 15 | both of the accounts?
- 16 A. So, the account is Fates Group LLC, and the authorized
- 17 | individual is Gilbert Armenta.
- 18 | Q. What is the address for Fates Group LLC?
- 19 A. 110 East Broward Boulevard, Number 1900, Fort Lauderdale,
- 20 | Florida 33301.
- 21 | Q. What type of entity is Fates Group identified to be on this
- 22 | account, on this document?
- 23 | A. It's an LLC, limited liability corporation.
- 24 | Q. What date is this account application and client agreement
- 25 | signed?

- 1 A. February 8, 2016.
- 2 Q. As branch manager of the Miami branch at Morgan Stanley,
- 3 were you familiar with a customer by the name of Gilbert
- 4 | Armenta?
- 5 A. Not personally, no.
- 6 Q. Have you reviewed records in connection with customer
- 7 | Gilbert Armenta?
- 8 A. I have reviewed the wire requests, yes.
- 9 Q. Have you reviewed account records connected with the two
- 10 accounts reflected on this, page 18?
- 11 A. I would have reviewed it at the time of the wire. I may
- 12 | have reviewed it prior to that, because I also approve account
- documents, so it's possible that I have.
- 14 | Q. Are you aware of whether Mr. Armenta had other accounts at
- 15 | Morgan Stanley at the same time that he had these accounts?
- 16 A. Yes, he did.
- 17 | Q. He did?
- 18 A. Hmm-hmm.
- 19 | Q. Do you know approximately how many?
- 20 A. I don't know off the top of my head. I do believe there
- 21 were other LLCs in the same grouped accounts, what we call a
- 22 | household. And I wouldn't be surprised if there were personal
- 23 accounts, there are probably maybe six, seven.
- Q. What do you mean by the term "household"?
- 25 A. It's all accounts that are in a -- well, we do have certain

- rules as to how they need to be related to each other. But
- 2 ultimately accounts that are related to each other that could
- 3 be they have the same authorized individual, in some cases same
- 4 beneficial owner, or it could be, you know, a relationship. So
- 5 grandfather, son, grandson.
- 6 Q. What you mentioned that there were other LLCs in this
- 7 | household. Do you remember any of the names of those other
- 8 LLCs?
- 9 A. I remember the name in conjunction with what I reviewed for
- 10 | this, and that would be Zala Group I believe.
- 11 | Q. Zala Group?
- 12 A. Yeah, I believe so.
- 13  $\parallel$  Q. If we could publish what is in evidence as 710A, pages one
- 14 and six next to each other, please.
- 15 Ms. Firuz, take a look at those two pages of this
- 16 | exhibit. What is this?
- 17 A. The client account agreement.
- 18 | Q. For which account?
- 19 | A. 46484. Fates Group LLC.
- 20  $\parallel$  Q. Who is the signatory on this account?
- 21 | A. Gilbert Armenta.
- 22  $\parallel$  Q. How does this exhibit relate to the exhibit that was just
- 23 | in front of you, 711A, pages 18 and 23, with the handwritten
- 24 account number on the left-hand corner?
- 25 A. It's the same document.

- So, do you know, this exhibit relates to what kind of
- 2 account?

- 3 A. It would be an active assets account, from just looking at
- 4 the client account agreement. So it is a non-retirement
- 5 account if you will.
- What does an active assets account mean? 6
- 7 A. An investment account, but it also has banking
- capabilities. And from this I can say it is an entity account, 8
- 9 clearly it is an LLC, because it's listed on the form.
- 10 If we can go back now to 711A, pages 18 and 23 side by
- 11 What is your understanding about the kind of account
- 12 that 047250 was or is?
- 13 A. From just this document, I can only deduce that it is a
- 14 same name account. It is going to be under the name of Fates
- 15 Group LLC with Gilbert Armenta as the authorized individual,
- 16 and it is an account that has banking capabilities of some
- 17 sort.
- Q. Aside from this exhibit, have you reviewed other exhibits 18
- in connection with these two accounts? 19
- 20 I have. Α.
- 21 Do you have an understanding of what kind of account 047250
- 22 was?
- 23 Α. I do.
- 24 0. What was that?
- 25 It is an express credit line account, which is a loan Α.

- account that's offered at Morgan Stanley.
- Describe to me how that works. 2 Q.
- 3 So, you essentially have an account that holds the assets,
- 4 which in this case are securities, and based off of those
- 5 assets, the firm will release an amount that you can borrow
- 6 against. And that will be your express credit line account
- 7 which is the loan account. They're connected to each other.
- Q. Do you know who the financial advisor -- well. Did 8
- 9 Mr. Armenta have a financial advisor assigned to him and his
- 10 accounts?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Ο. Who was that?
- 13 Luis Mercado. Α.
- 14 Was Mr. Mercado based in the Miami office? Q.
- 15 Α. Yes, he was.
- Did you work with him? 16 0.
- 17 Α. I did.
- I'm going to direct your attention to June 29 of 2016. 18
- 19 can take those exhibits down. Thanks, Mr. Barile.
- 20 June 29, 2016. What, if anything, did Mr. Armenta
- 21 request on that date?
- 22 There were two wire requests. One for 2 million and the
- 23 other one for \$3 million, and that was sent to the team, I
- 24 believe to Luis as well as the assistant at the time, which
- 25 would have been Ivette Colon.

- 1 MS. LOZANO: May I approach the witness, your Honor? 2 THE COURT: You may.
- 3 Q. Ms. Firuz, if you can take a look at the exhibits that are marked in that Redweld, exhibits GX 2901, 2902, 2903, 2905, and 4 5 2906. And tell me if you recognize them.
  - I do recognize them now, yes.
    - What are they? Q.
- These are e-mail communications between the financial 8 9 advisor and Mr. Armenta as well as on some of them his
- 10 assistant as well as who I assume to be an employee of Zala 11 Group.
- 12 Q. Were those exhibits, were those e-mails produced by Morgan 13 Stanley to the government?
- 14 A. Yes.

6

- 15 Were those exhibits kept in the regular course of Morgan Stanley's business? 16
- 17 A. Yes, these would have been in the correspondence that the 18 financial advisor had with the client so, yes.
- 19 Q. Was it the standard practice of Morgan Stanley to make and 20 keep these records?
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. Was the information that's contained in those exhibits, in
- 23 those e-mails, recorded at or near the time of the events or
- 24 transactions described in the records?
- 25 I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?

- 1 | Q. Was the information that's recorded in those e-mails,
- 2 | that's memorialized in those e-mails, recorded at or near the
- 3 | time of the transactions that are detailed in the e-mails?
- 4 A. Most of them, yes.
- 5 Q. What do you mean by most of them?
- 6 A. There is one e-mail from April, it looks like, from the
- 7 | assistant of Luis Mercado just confirming our policies
- 8 regarding wires.
- 9 Q. Right. So, but that e-mail specifically, the information
- 10 | that's contained in that exhibit, was recorded at the time that
- 11 he was providing that information?
- 12 A. Correct.
- 13 | Q. And that he was communicating that policy?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Was the person or persons who kept these records under a
- 16 | business duty to do so accurately?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 MS. LOZANO: I now offer GX 2901, 2902, 2903, 2905 and
- 19 2906 into evidence.
- MR. GARVIN: No objection.
- 21 | THE COURT: Those exhibits will be received.
- 22 | (Government's Exhibit 2901, 2902, 2903, 2905, 2906
- 23 | received in evidence)
- MS. LOZANO: Thank you. If we could publish 2902.
- 25 | Q. Ms. Firuz, you can put it down. It's going to be on the

screen.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Now, what is that? What is this e-mail?

- This looks -- so Ivette colon, who was the assistant at the time to Luis Mercado which was the financial advisor on the account, sent an e-mail to Mr. Armenta as well as who I believe to be maybe his assistant.
  - Who is that? Q.
- Giselle Valentin. Α.
- Q. You mentioned that -- well. Rather than repeating what you mentioned.
- Can you please tell me what it is Mr. Mercado is informing Giselle in terms of policy?
- A. So all letters of authorization need Gilbert's original signature, no digital signature accepted. This is a precaution for security reasons to protect the client. Also, all wires over 500,000 require we speak to Gilbert.
- Q. Are these the policies that you mentioned earlier in your testimony?
- It's part of them, so all wires require that we talk to clients. I believe what she's trying to convey here is that if it's 500,000 or above, it's not enough or sufficient that the team talks to them. I would also have to talk to them or another manager at my level.
- Q. We can take that down, and let's go to exhibit GX 2903 in Take a look at that and let me know if you recognize evidence.

JBC3SCO2 Firuz - Direct

- 1 that.
- 2 | A. I do.
- 3 Q. What is this document?
- 4 A. This is an e-mail from the financial advisor to the
- 5 assistant Giselle, with Mr. Armenta cc, and it's letting her
- 6 know that we have received the requests for the 2 million and
- 7 | the \$3 million wires, but that most likely the valid date of
- 8 | those wires will be delayed until next day.
- 9 Q. What is the date that this e-mail is received by Morgan
- 10 | Stanley or sent by Morgan Stanley, I'm sorry?
- 11 A. June 29, 2016.
- 12 | Q. At what time?
- 13 A. 3:37 p.m.
- 14 Q. Mr. Mercado references where the wires are to be sent.
- 15 Where is that?
- 16 A. Fenero Equity Investments.
- 17 | Q. Is there something at the end of that?
- 18 A. Yes, it's Fenero Equity Investments II LP.
- 19 MS. LOZANO: I think we can move on now to Exhibit
- 20 | 2906.
- 21 Q. Ms. Firuz, the top e-mail, when is that dated?
- 22 A. June 29, 2016.
- 23 | O. From whom is it?
- 24 A. It's from Giselle Valentin.
- 25 Q. To?

- Α. To Luis Mercado.
- Is there an attachment? 2 Q.
- 3 Yes, it looks like she attached a wire request. Α.
- 4 If we can scroll down to the attachments please. Q. This is
- 5 page two. Please describe what this document is.
- This is a letter of authorization from the client that we 6
- 7 would request when a wire of this amount is being sent out, and
- it will include the amount and other pertinent details to the 8
- 9 wire.

- 10 How much was this request for?
- 11 Α. \$3 million.
- 12 From what account was it to be sent?
- 13 658047250. Α.
- 14 Do you recall --Q.
- I do. 15 Α. It is the loan account for Fates Group LLC.
- Where was the money, the \$3 million, to be wired? 16
- 17 Looks like the final beneficiary is Fenero Equity
- 18 Investments II LP Deutsche Bank Cayman.
- 19 Who is it signed by? Q.
- 20 Gilbert Armenta. Α.
- 21 Let's scroll down one more. Now, what is this?
- 22 This is a letter of authorization essentially with the same
- 23 information, but it is a different dollar amount, which is \$2
- 24 million.
- 25 To be clear on that day, June 29, Mr. Armenta sent two

JBC3SC02

1

2

letters of authorization?

- Α. Correct.
- For different amounts? 3 0.
- 4 Α. Correct.
- 5 And what did these letters of authorization direct Morgan
- 6 Stanley to do?
- 7 It requested two wires, one in the amount of 2 million and
- the other 3 million to be sent to Fenero Equity Investments II 8
- 9 LP, in Deutsche Bank Cayman Limited.
- 10 So, after receiving that -- we can put that down. Ο.
- 11 After receiving that, what did Morgan Stanley do?
- 12 What steps did you take?
- 13 So, the team would have received it, and when they receive Α.
- 14 it, they'll generally do a callback confirmation with the
- 15 client. They would have to notate whom they spoke, to the
- number they spoke to them at, the date and the time. Once that 16
- 17 happens, generally the assistant to the advisor will enter that
- into our wire processing system, and they'll create the 18
- transaction based on these instructions. And after that, it 19
- 20 will come for my approval or any other manager's approval, at
- 21 which point, I would actually also give Mr. Armenta a call to
- confirm the details of the wire. 22
- 23 MS. LOZANO: Could we publish, please, Exhibit 2905.
- 24 Ο. What is this? The top e-mail. I'm sorry.
- 25 It looks like they've requested documentation for the loan Α.

5

Firuz - Direct

- account, that's the quaranty of account form that the assistant 1 is sending to Luis Mercado at Morgan Stanley, on June 29, 2016. 2
- 3 Q. Okay. What is the significance of that attachment, the guaranty of account form?

It's -- I need to actually see the document.

- I'm sorry. Let's scroll down, I'm sorry. So I think we 6 7 can page, to turn to page three of the exhibit. Go ahead.
- Sorry. When you have an account that's not the same name 8 9 account, and you actually want to pledge the assets in that
- 10 account for a loan under a different name, you would have to fill this out. 11
- 12 So in this case, it looks like Zala Group was going to 13 be a pledge account for the loan of Fates Group LLC.
- 14 Q. All right. And for which account, which Fates Group account, the 47250? 15
- Yes, the loan account, correct. 16
- 17 All right. Could we scroll down to page five of this 18 exhibit. Who signs on behalf of Zala Group?
- A. Gilbert Armenta. 19
- 20 Q. When is this signed? When is this guaranty of account 21 signed?
- 22 Α. June 29, 2016.
- 23 If we can scroll down one more page to six. What is this 24 now?
- 25 The guaranty of account document.

- 1 | Q. Well, if we go up to I believe three, if we go up to three.
- 2 Zala --
- 3 A. I'm sorry. I see.
- 4 Q. Fates is on the bottom. So if we scroll to five, explain
- 5 | to me why the account accounts are inverted?
- 6 A. It seems that they cross collateralized the accounts. If
- 7 | you want to scroll down one more I can take a look.
- 8 Q. Six.
- 9 A. So, this you would need if Fates was also going to be a
- 10 | quarantor for Zala Group LLC account.
- 11 | Q. Is that what happened here?
- 12 A. I'd have to look into the account to see. My guess would
- 13 be no. Because this is the loan account, I would assume that
- 14 | the Zala Group actually was the pledge.
- 15 | Q. One last page on this exhibit, then we're done with this
- 16 exhibit. Page eight. Who signs on behalf of the Fates Group?
- 17 A. Gilbert Armenta.
- 18  $\mathbb{Q}$ . On what date?
- 19 A. June 29, 2016.
- 20 THE COURT: Ms. Lozano, it is now 11 o'clock so we'll
- 21 | take our morning break. 15 minutes, ladies and gentlemen. Do
- 22 | not discuss the case.
- 23 (Jury excused)
- 24 (Continued on next page)

THE COURT: Ms. Firuz, you may step down.

Any issues for me?

MR. DiMASE: Judge, I did want to raise an issue regarding the attachments to the government's letter that we do intend to offer today.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DiMASE: It is Exhibit B to the letter. The next witness is going to be a Sabadell Bank witness who I expect is going to testify that Mr. Armenta opened accounts at Sabadell in March of 2016. Other evidence will show that they were funded with OneCoin derived proceeds. Ultimately, in August of 2016, Sabadell notified Mr. Armenta that they were shutting down all four of his accounts in a month. Mr. Armenta's assistant Giselle sent that letter to Mr. Scott. That's basically what Exhibit B to our letter shows. This is one of the letters indicating that Mr. Scott had knowledge that OneCoin related bank accounts were being shut down.

It is also particularly relevant in the course of this witness's testimony because the evidence will show, the testimony will show that shortly before the accounts were closed, that's when Mr. Armenta wired \$5 million from one of these accounts that was about to be closed into the Fenero Funds.

THE COURT: Who is Diane Cook.

MR. DiMASE: Diane Cook is another employee -- I

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

apologize. This one is from Diane Cook, not from Giselle. is an employee from Zala Group. There will be other e-mails with her signature line establishing she works at Zala or Fates, and the actual e-mail itself which can be considered by the Court in making this determination says please find attached a scanned letter that is sent to you at the direction of Gilbert. So, she's really acting as an agent on behalf of Gilbert at this point sending this letter.

In any event, the letter itself is not being offered for the truth. It is being offered for its effect on Mr. Scott.

THE COURT: And there will be an employee or representative of Sabadell Bank testifying?

MR. DiMASE: Yes, that witness is going to testify that this very letter, which will be an exhibit that he admits, was sent to Mr. Armenta. And then we intend to introduce this e-mail showing that it was forwarded at Mr. Armenta's direction to Mr. Scott.

MR. GARVIN: We object to this particular document on several grounds. The first is that Sabadell Bank and Mr. Scott had no banking relationship. And that this letter was not sent to Mr. Scott, this was sent to Zala Group and Fates Group, which Mr. Scott had no connection with whatsoever.

Gilbert Armenta had been Mr. Scott's client for over 10 years. And the fact that he told his secretary to send a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

copy of the letter to Mr. Scott was in that relationship of attorney-client. Mr. Scott did nothing with this document, never contacted Sabadell Bank, and there are several pieces of evidence in this case that show that Mr. Scott's relationship with Mr. Armenta had deteriorated to the point where they were no longer speaking directly.

This document, as it sits, is one step further removed from the documents that was introduced on Friday. At least that document went from Mason Hayes to Mr. Scott. document doesn't go from the bank to Mr. Scott. It goes from the bank to Gilbert Armenta, and at some time later goes from Gilbert Armenta to Mr. Scott.

So, your Honor, we object as both on the grounds of hearsay, but also on the grounds that it violates Mr. Scott's Sixth Amendment right to confront his witnesses, because he has no way to cross-examine Mr. Armenta who is not available.

MR. DiMASE: Mr. Armenta is a co-conspirator. His statements are admissible at the trial. To the point that this, there is no relationship, the evidence has established that Mr. Armenta was a key co-conspirator in the money laundering conspiracy, and in fact that one of the accounts referenced in this letter subsequently sent \$5 million into the Fenero Funds, just before the account was closed pursuant to the letter. So I think it is highly relevant evidence of Mr. Scott's state of mind.

JBC3SCO2 Firuz - Direct THE COURT: I'll allow it. Anything else? MR. GARVIN: No, sir. THE COURT: Don't be late. (Recess) (Continued on next page) 

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

(Jury present)

THE COURT: Ms. Lozano.

MS. LOZANO: Yes, your Honor. At this point the government is offering the following e-mail exhibits that are contained in GX 55, the electronic evidence device stipulation, various different exhibits.

THE COURT: Can you get closer to the microphone.

MS. LOZANO: Yes. The exhibits are GX 1041, 1055, 1099, 1140, 1116, 1317, 1325, 1360, 1133, 1437, 1438, and 1439 into evidence.

MR. GARVIN: We have no objection.

THE COURT: Those exhibits will be received.

(Government's Exhibit 1041, 1055, 1099, 1140, 1116,

14 1317 received in evidence)

15 (Government's Exhibit 1325, 1360, 1133, 1437, 1438,

1439 received in evidence) 16

- Q. Ms. Firuz, when we broke we were discussing Mr. Armenta's requests for two different wires. Did you have any idea about
- whose money that was? 19
- 20 Whose money the wire amounts were? Α.
- 21 Q. Correct.
- 22 Where it was coming from?
- 23 Ο. Correct?
- 24 It was a loan. It was based off of the assets held in the Α.
- 25 collateral account. So it was Morgan Stanley's money,

- 1 essentially.
- Let's please publish 1055, GX 1055 in evidence. 2
- 3 Ms. Firuz, you've never seen this e-mail before, have you?
- 4 Α. I haven't.
- 5 So who is it to and who is it from?
- Looks like from Dr. Ruja Ignatova to Mark Scott. 6 Α.
- 7 Q. Is it to --
- I'm sorry. To Dr. Ruja Ignatova from Mark Scott. 8 Α.
- 9 What is the date? Ο.
- 10 Α. March 2, 2016.
- 11 0. What is Dr. Ruja Ignatova's, what's her e-mail address?
- 12 Α. Ruja@OneCoin.eu.
- 13 Could you please read to me the first sentence of the first Ο.
- 14 paragraph.
- 15 Α. Sure. "For your information, Gilbert asked me about your
- new structure." 16
- 17 Then could you please read the first sentence of the second
- 18 paragraph.
- "Any funds you have with him and you want to transfer 19
- 20 should be sent either through my escrow in U.S. or BVI."
- Can you finish that paragraph, please? 21 Q.
- 22 "We can do immediately. Also, your other funds if you
- want. Let me know." 23
- 24 Who is it signed by? Ο.
- 25 Mark Scott. Α.

- 1 Do you know the defendant Mark Scott?
- 2 Α. I do not.
- 3 Do you know a person by the name of Ruja Ignatova?
- I do not. 4 Α.
- 5 We can take that down. And we could now publish what is in
- evidence as exhibit GX 1099, and if we could please publish 6
- 7 that on the left-hand side of the screen side by side with what is in evidence as GX 2906, page two.
- 9 The left-hand side, GX 1099. Have you ever seen this 10 e-mail before?
- 11 Α. I haven't.

- 12 Who is it to and who is it from?
- 13 It's from Mark S. Scott to Gilbert Armenta. Α.
- 14 On what date? Q.
- 15 Α. June 2, 2016.
- 16 Could you please read the first sentence of the first
- 17 paragraph.
- 18 "In furtherance of my earlier message to you, please find
- below the wire details for one of the investment funds as 19
- 20 announced."
- 21 And please read the second paragraph.
- 22 "Any wire that can be accepted must have a 5 million euro
- 23 minimum value. Funds can be accepted without having received
- 24 prior KYC, which should be delivered within a reasonable time
- 25 thereafter together with the executed subscription package."

- 1 | Q. Then if we can zoom out, and then zoom back in to the
- 2 beneficiary bank field. The one above that. Beneficiary bank.
- 3 What is the beneficiary bank here?
- 4 A. Deutsche Bank International Limited.
- 5 | Q. In the field below that if we zoom out. Who is the
- 6 beneficiary identified in this e-mail?
- 7 A. From there it goes to the Deutsche Bank Cayman Limited
- 8 where the Fenero Equity Investments II LP account is held at.
- 9 Q. If we could zoom out. And who is sending this information
- 10 | to Mr. Armenta?
- 11 A. Mark Scott.
- 12 Q. If you could compare, please, Exhibit 1099 on the left with
- 13 | 2906, page two on the right, are the beneficiary banks and
- 14 beneficiaries identified in both documents the same?
- 15 | A. Yes.
- 16 Q. We can take those down. And turn to exhibit -- let's get
- 17 | back to the wire requests at this point and if we can publish
- 18 | 1438 at this point.
- 19 Do you recognize this?
- 20 | A. I do.
- 21  $\parallel$  Q. What is this?
- 22 | A. It's an e-mail from Giselle Valentin at Zala Group to Luis
- 23 Mercado.
- 24  $\mathbb{Q}$ . What is the date of this e-mail?
- 25 A. June 29, 2016.

Can you please read to me what Ms. Valentin writes to

- 2 Mr. Mercado on the top e-mail.
- 3 "Hi there. I hope you get this. Attached are the two
- signed wire request and please make sure the reason is as 4
- 5 follows. 3 million wire for investment into ZIIXI, 2 million
- wire for investment into XIII. 6
- 7 Are you familiar with the entities ZIIXI or XIII?
- 8 Α. I'm not.
- 9 What do you understand this -- what do you understand
- 10 Ms. Valentin to be telling Mr. Mercado about the purpose of
- 11 these two wires?
- 12 A. He's making investments in two separate funds, it looks
- 13 like.
- Q. If we can go now, move to 1437 perhaps. Yes. So do you 14
- 15 recognize this, 1437?
- 16 I do. Α.
- 17 What is this? Ο.
- 18 It is an e-mail from Giselle Valentin to Luis Mercado with
- his assistant Ivette Colon cc'd for the wire instructions. 19
- 20 Again, what does it relate to? What wires?
- 21 In regards to the two wires, one for 3 million, one for 2
- 22 million, that are supposed to be sent from Fates Group LLC
- 23 account.
- 24 So if you can go down to the bottom of the wire -- of the
- 25 e-mail. Can you read to me the reason for the wires.

- "Reason for wires are below as well. 3 million wire for 1
- investment into ZIIXI, 2 million wire for investment into 2
- XIII." 3
- 4 Q. Are those the same reasons as were given in the prior
- 5 e-mail we just saw, 1438?
- 6 Α. They are.
- 7 So we can take that down. Why was that information, the
- reasons for the wires, provided to Morgan Stanley? 8
- 9 A. Generally, when clients are sending large wires or even
- 10 when you're sending small wires, you would provide a reason for
- 11 what it's for if it is going to a third party.
- 12 Why is it important for Morgan Stanley to understand the
- 13 purpose of wire transfers?
- 14 A. Well, we want to make sure it's in line with account
- 15 activity. We want to make sure there is nothing, for lack of a
- better word, fishy about the wires. 16
- Q. Let's now take a look at 711E. I'm going to ask you, in 17
- 18 response to Mr. Armenta's requests for wires, you indicated
- that there was a callback. Who did the callback to 19
- 20 Mr. Armenta?
- 21 A. Well, two people would have done the callback.
- 22 case, it looks like from the team Luis Mercado, the financial
- 23 advisor, spoke to him at 1 p.m. on June 29, 2016. The phone
- 24 number they talked to him on is listed on the letter of
- 25 authorization, which also would have been on the account.

- 1 Q. So let's slow down. 711E, this is page one of the exhibit.
- 2 What is this?
- 3 A. Spoke to Gilbert Armenta.
- 4 | Q. No, what is this document?
- 5 A. Oh, I'm sorry. This is a letter of authorization. So when
- 6 we request a letter of authorization from clients that are
- 7 | signed due to the amount, this is essentially what we would
- 8 | receive. It would have to include the amount, the from account
- 9 | that it's coming out or the account name and the beneficiary
- 10 | information.
- 11  $\parallel$  Q. This is the same letter of authorization that we saw
- 12 | earlier?
- 13 | A. Yes.
- 14 | Q. But, there are handwritten notes on this letter of
- 15 | authorization?
- 16 A. Yes, so the assistants can either print out and write down
- 17 | the confirmation notes on there or we do have a wire system in
- 18 | which you can enter the notes.
- 19 Q. Here, according to the notes, you indicated that
- 20 Mr. Mercado spoke to Mr. Armenta?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. Who whose handwriting is that?
- 23 A. Ivette Colon, the assistant.
- 24  $\parallel$  Q. If we can go to -- this letter of authorization was for
- 25 which wire, the 2 million or the 3 million?

- \$2 million. Α.
- So now you indicated that then further confirmations and 2
- 3 approvals need to be done and you were involved in that?
- So I would have had to do a callback as well. 4 Correct. Α.
- 5 Are those reviews or approvals logged in any way in the
- 6 Morgan Stanley record system?
- 7 It would be in the wire system, and it would include
- verification information for client, the phone number, the 8
- 9 time, the date, and who we spoke to.
- 10 Is there some kind of record keeping log that you enter
- that information into? 11
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 What is that called? 0.
- 14 It's called workflow dashboard. Α.
- Workflow dashboard? 15 Q.
- 16 Α. Correct.
- 17 How does the workflow dashboard work?
- 18 So when you have a letter of authorization, essentially you
- 19 scan it and workflow is what it goes to. And then you would
- 20 have to put it under a category, in this case it will be funds
- 21 transfer. Once it is submitted into the system, it will have a
- 22 checklist that will allow me to enter information such as the
- callback confirmation. 23
- 24 If the checklist is not completed, can the wire go out?
- 25 No, the system will stop you.

- Q. I think you mentioned before that part of this review process is to understand if there is something fishy going on with wire requests?
  - A. So you would essentially want to make sure that it matches account activity. It makes sense. You would look at the other related accounts for the client a lot of the times to see whether it's invested accounts. We are a brokerage firm, like I said, so we shouldn't have accounts sitting in cash, having money come in and out. So those are a couple of things. We do also have enhanced due diligence on the accounts when they get open. You will have the reason, the purpose of the account actually listed on there. So if you don't feel the activity
  - Q. What steps do you take if you are not satisfied that the activity looks normal or that you're comfortable with the activity?

makes sense, you can take a look at that as well.

- A. The first thing I would do, and this is prior to ever reaching out to the client, would be to reach out to the risk officer at my branch.
- Q. To do what?
- A. To inform them that the activity does not make sense, at least to me, based on my review.
- Q. Okay. So, let's talk about this \$2 million wire and its process through the workflow system. Let's go to page four of this exhibit. What is this?

- 2 Firuz Direct
- 1 A. This looks like a trail from workflow dashboard.
- 2 Q. The top-left-hand corner is there an indication that says
- 3 | branch workflow request detail report?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- 5 Q. In the note section, there is a note and when is it dated?
- 6 A. June 29, 2016.
- 7 | Q. Who is the person who is inputting the notes?
- 8 A. It's the assistant to the financial advisor.
- 9  $\parallel$  0. Who is that?
- 10 A. Ivette Colon.
- 11 | Q. Please read to me what Ivette colon indicated on the notes
- 12 | section at 2:34 on June 29, 2016?
- 13 A. "Confirm LOA moneys are capital investment by the account
- 14 owner in a new company."
- 15 Q. Explain to me what that means.
- 16 | A. That means that client wants to send money as an investment
- 17 | to a new company.
- 18 Q. What does "confirm on LOA" mean?
- 19 A. That means that somebody did the callback, and the
- 20 confirmation notes of that callback are actually on the letter
- 21 of authorization which she wrote by hand.
- 22 | Q. LOA is letter of authorization?
- 23 A. Correct.
- 24 | Q. What is your understanding of who provided the information
- 25 moneys are capital investment by the account owner in a new

JBC3SC02

company"?

1

- That would have been the client. Α.
- 3 As part of the work flow review of these wire requests,
- 4 does Morgan Stanley look at the purpose of purported
- 5 investment?
- 6 Α. Yes.
- 7 Q. Why?
- Well, a lot of the times they look at where the money --8
- 9 I'm sorry. Can you repeat the question, actually?
- 10 Yes. Why does Morgan Stanley review the purpose of the
- 11 purported investment for a wire that's going out?
- 12 There are multiple reasons. Fraud being one of them.
- 13 would review it for that purpose. But also you want to make
- 14 sure that it is within our guidelines. There are also multiple
- 15 other departments that will generally have eyes on certain
- wires going to certain jurisdictions. And that's generally to 16
- 17 make sure that -- that's generally the AML department.
- Q. If you are not satisfied and you have concerns related to 18
- 19 AML or the propriety of a transfer, could the transfer be
- 20 blocked?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Let's go down now to -- before we move on from that.
- 23 do you believe that the -- the information inputted by
- 24 Ms. Colon was provided by Mr. Armenta?
- 25 It would have come up in the conversation, I assume, with

- 2 Firuz Direct
- 1 | the financial advisor as to the purpose of the wire. And they
- 2 | would have had to provide it to us so that we know why it's
- 3 going.
- 4 | Q. What I'm saying is how do you know that that was provided
- 5 by Mr. Armenta, and not one of his employees?
- 6 A. Because Luis Mercado directly talked to the client, would
- 7 have had to talk to the client about the wire.
- 8 | Q. Because of the policy?
- 9 | A. Yes.
- 10 | Q. Then you mentioned the term AML.
- 11 A. Anti money laundering. Sorry.
- 12 | Q. Let's move down to page seven of this work flow report on
- 13 | the \$2 million transfer. What is that?
- 14 A. This is a Swift message that gets generated once a wire is
- 15 | executed and confirmed.
- 16 Q. What does a Swift message mean?
- 17 | A. It is a transmission message between the financial
- 18 | institutions that shows the details of a wire transfer.
- 19 | Q. Who gets that Swift message?
- 20 | A. We get it as the sending firm. I expect the receiving firm
- 21 | will have a Swift message as well.
- 22 | Q. What information is contained in a Swift message?
- 23 A. Generally the account number, the date, the amount, the
- 24 beneficiary account, and the firm account information.
- 25 | Q. Here, can you tell me what account was sending the money?

JBC3SCO2 Firuz - Direct

- 1 | A. Yes.
- 2 | Q. What field is that in?
- 3 | A. 50K658047250, Fates Group LLC account.
- 4 Q. Where on this Swift payment can you find the amount of the
- 5 | wire? What field is that in?
- 6 A. Right above that. It's 33B as well as 32A.
- 7 | Q. All right. That's the 2 million?
- 8 A. Hmm-hmm.
- 9 Q. The notation in 32A, those six first numbers, what do they
- 10 | relate to?
- 11  $\blacksquare$  A. 32A. That's the date.
- 12 | Q. So this is, what, June 29, 2016?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. The recipient of this wire is identified as what?
- 15 | A. It's line 70 and that's Fenero Equity Investments II LP.
- 16 | Q. Do you know where the -- can you tell from this Swift
- 17 payment how the money moved from the Fates account to the
- 18 | Fenero account?
- 19 A. Yes. Through the Morgan Stanley benefit account which is
- 20 on 53B, which is in New York, has our New York Swift on top
- 21 MSNYUS33. Line one.
- 22 | Q. So let's just stop right there. In line one, you noted
- 23 MSNYUS33AD. What is that?
- 24 A. MSNYUS33 would have been our Swift.
- 25 Q. What does that mean?

- 1 A. If you put it in the system, it should automatically come
- 2 | up with Morgan Stanley. Very much like the Citi Swift, you
- 3 | will see to the right of that, Citi U.S. 33, if you put that in
- 4 | the system it will come up with Citibank.
- 5 Q. How do you know that this Swift payment relates to a
- 6 payment that was sent from Morgan Stanley?
- 7 A. Yeah. So that's how I would know that, and the benefit
- 8 account number listed on the -- in the middle.
- 9 Q. And you indicated the benefit account is 43B?
- 10 A. 53B.
- 11 | Q. I'm sorry. 53B. Where is that benefit account located?
- 12 A. New York.
- 13 | Q. Let's now go to page 13 of this exhibit and we can walk
- 14 | through the approval steps for this 2 million. Before I do
- 15 | that. If we can go back to -- well. We don't need to go back
- 16 | to the Swift.
- 17 For the benefit account, the Morgan Stanley benefit
- 18 account, you indicated it was located in New York. What part
- 19 of New York?
- 20 A. I do not know what part of New York, I'm sorry.
- 21 | Q. Well, Manhattan?
- 22 | A. I'm not sure. All of our wires process through New York.
- 23 | So, what I would know is that you will see that MSNY33 because
- 24 of that benefit account being housed in New York.
- 25 Q. So New York State?

- 1 Α. Yeah.
- If we could zoom in on the first several entries in the 2 Ο. 3 work flow report there.
- 4 How do we read this report, Ms. Firuz, does it -- you 5 tell me what is reflected in these different fields.
  - So, this is our transfers portal system which is connected to the workflow dashboard.
- 8 Q. Okay.

6

7

- 9 And the initial entry will see the person who is submitting 10 the wire request or any transaction request, and the comments they would have entered on the right.
- 12 Ο. Who is that?
- 13 That's Ivette Colon. Α.
- 14 What date was it entered? Q.
- June 26, 2016. 15 Α.
- If we can just zoom out for a minute. This relates just to 16
- 17 the \$2 million wire?
- I believe to see the amount I would have to see --18
- 19 If we go down. Stay on that page. And we go down to the
- 20 entry, sixth entry. Is there a reference to how much the
- 21 amount is?
- 22 A. Yes, it looks like the margin department actually put a
- 23 note that it's for the \$2 million wire.
- 24 Q. Let's go back up to Ms. Colon's first entry and please
- 25 indicate to me what comments she noted in that entry.

4

9

10

- Firuz Direct
- 1 Α. "Confirm on the LOA moneys are working capital infusion by the account owner in a new company." 2
  - Who provided that information? 0.
  - That would have had to come from the client. Α.
- 5 So if we can zoom out. After Ms. Colon enters that in, if 6 we can go down to the banking opps rep -- no. Stay on that 7 In the middle of the page if we can zoom in on those -the bottom half of the page. Starting with approved the \$2 8

What does this entry reflect?

- 11 So because this is coming out of the loan account, they
- 12 need to make sure that there is enough collateral at which
- 13 point it will go to banking opps department, who will then
- 14 reach out to margin, and that refers to the margin clerk
- 15 approving for \$2 million and his name is Paul Chung.
- We can zoom out. We can scroll down. Move to page 17 of 16
- 17 this exhibit. What is that?
- A. This is the letter of authorization for the \$3 million 18
- 19 wire.
- 20 Are there handwritten notes on that?
- 21 Yes, there are. Α.

million wire.

- 22 What do those handwritten notes indicate?
- 23 "Confirmed with Gilbert A 6/29/2016 at 1 p.m." Phone
- 24 number listed underneath with Luis Mercado.
- 25 Given that notation, what is your understanding of whether

- 1 Mr. Mercado spoke to Mr. Armenta to confirm the purpose of this 2 wire?
- 3 A. Right. So, given the note, he would have called, he would 4 have gone over the details of the wire, the amount of the wire,
- 5 as well as the reason for the wire.
- 6 Q. You mentioned there would also be a call from you. When in 7 the process would that happen?
- That would be after. First, the team, either the assistant 8
- 9 or the advisor will talk to the client. Then it has to go
- 10 through the system so it would have been submitted into system.
- 11 Once it's submitted, then it reaches my desk, at which point I
- 12 would have reviewed the wire and then afterwards done a
- 13 callback.
- 14 You would call the customer? You would call Mr. Armenta? Q.
- Any authorized individual on the account, yes. 15 Α.
- In this case it was Mr. Armenta? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 What questions would you ask about the wire?
- 19 Well, first I would have to verify his identity. There are
- 20 certain set questions by Morgan Stanley that I would use to do
- 21 that. After that, we would talk about the amount, the
- 22 instructions that were provided, and most likely the reason of
- 23 the wire would have come up as well.
- 24 Sitting here today, do you have a specific recollection
- 25 about any phone calls you had with Mr. Armenta regarding these

JBC3SC02

- 1 two wires?
- 2 I do not. Α.
- 3 We can go to page 23, please, Mr. Barile. 0.
- What is that, Ms. Firuz? 4
- This is the Swift message for the \$3 million wire. 5 Α.
- How do you know that? What field does the \$3 million show 6 0. 7 up?
- 8 Α. 32A and 32B. 33B, I'm sorry.
- 9 In line 32A, what is the date of this wire? Ο.
- 10 June 30, 2016. Α.
- 11 Now, Mr. Armenta, you told us, sent this request in for the
- 12 3 million also on the 29th of June. Why did it go out one day
- 13 later?
- 14 A. It looks like it would have been, it's possibly stuck at
- 15 the margin department and did not move through the same day.
- 16 It was past cutoff.
- 17 And this Swift payment order, what does it reflect
- 18 regarding the sender and the recipient of the \$3 million?
- The same as the 2 million. The sender is listed on 50K, 19
- 20 the account number is the loan account for Fates Group LLC, and
- 21 the recipient is line 70, Fenero Equity Investments II LP.
- 22 Where was this money sent from out of Morgan Stanley? Q.
- 23 From the Fates Group LLC account in Miami. Α.
- 24 Through what benefit account? Ο.
- 25 Through Morgan Stanley benefit account which is Α. Oh.

- 1 | 40611172 on line 53B.
- 2 | Q. And what Swift code is reflected here to show that Morgan
- 3 | Stanley's benefit account sent that money?
- 4 A. It's line one, you have MSNYUS33, which is where the
- 5 account would have been housed.
- 6 Q. Again, that benefit account is located in New York?
- 7 A. Yes, correct.
- 8 Q. We can scroll down to page 30 and again we'll walk through
- 9 some of the approval steps and the \$3 million wire. If we
- 10 could go down to the bottom of the page, starting with
- 11 Ms. Colon's entry, we can just highlight the four bottom boxes
- 12 or rows on that page.
- When was this wire, \$3 million, entered by Ms. Colon?
- 14 A. June 29, 2016.
- 15 | Q. At what time?
- 16 A. 2:54 p.m.
- 17 | Q. What are the comments she inputted regarding this wire?
- 18 A. "Confirm on LOA moneys are capital infusion in new
- 19 | company."
- 20 | Q. Based on that, what did you think the \$3 million was being
- 21 | used for?
- 22 A. For an investment in a new company.
- 23 | Q. Did you know what company?
- 24 A. From the wire details I could see where it was going, yes,
- 25 Fenero Investments.

2

3

4

5

- How does that relate to the exhibits you saw earlier with references to the companies ZIIXI and XIII?
- I believe those are different funds that the money would be invested in.
  - That was based on what Mr. Armenta told you?
- 6 That was, that's based on -- because I don't recall the 7 conversation we've had. It would have come up the reason for the wire, but it's based on the e-mail communication I got. 8
- 9 Q. So we can scroll down to page 31 and in the top half of the 10 page, the first four rows, we can highlight those.
- 11 Ms. Firuz, I want you to take a look at the bottom one. 12 second one. Is that you?
- 13 Α. Yes, that's me.
- 14 What does that row reflect? Q.
- 15 Α. It means that it was approved at branch level.
- Then the last row that's zoomed in on there, can you please 16 17 explain to me the significance of the comments in that row.
- 18 Α. On the last row?
- 19 Hmm-hmm. Q.

25

20 \$3 million wire is approved. Paul Chung, who is the margin clerk, it seems that there was sufficient collateral to approve 21 22 \$3 million. On the bottom of that it's "the client is not 23 buying equity in the company. The beneficiary is a private 24 equity firm that invests, among other things, in renewal

Our client is providing working capital to buy."

- Firuz Direct
- 1 After renewable energy does it say in parentheses "i.e.
- windmills"? 2
- 3 It does. Α.
- 4 Based on that entry, what did you believe the money was Q.
- 5 being used for?
- For an investment in a company that invests in renewable 6
- 7 energy sources.
- Who provided that information to Morgan Stanley? 8
- 9 That would have come from the client. Α.
- 10 In this case it's Mr. Armenta? Ο.
- 11 Α. Correct.
- 12 Ultimately -- we can take this down. Ultimately, were
- 13 these two wires approved, the 2 million and the 3 million?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- 15 If we could show for the jury what's in evidence as GX
- 16 2901. Do you recognizes that, Ms. Firuz?
- 17 Yes. Α.
- 18 What is that? Ο.
- 19 It's an e-mail from Luis Mercado to Giselle Valentin and
- 20 Mr. Armenta.
- 21 When is it dated? Q.
- 22 Α. June 29, 2016.
- 23 What does it say? 0.
- 24 The wire for 2 million went out." Α. "Good evening Giselle.
- 25 The wire reference number. "The 3 million is scheduled to go

- out first thing in the morning, and I will provide the reference number as soon as I have it."
- 3 Q. After the money was wired from Mr. Armenta's account to
- 4 | Fenero, did you have any contact with the beneficiary account
- 5 | holder, the Fenero Funds?
- 6 A. I did not.
- 7 | Q. If we can publish, please, what's in evidence as exhibit GX
- 8 | 1360. Ms. Firuz, have you ever seen this e-mail before?
- 9 | A. I have not.
- 10  $\parallel$  0. Who is it from?
- 11 A. Mark Scott.
- 12 | Q. Who is it to?
- 13 A. Dave Van Duynhoven.
- 14 | Q. What is the date?
- 15 | A. It's June 30, 2016.
- 16 Looks like a second person as well, David Pike.
- 17 Q. David Pike. Okay. Can you read to me, please, the
- 18 | first two sentences?
- 19 | A. "I have just confirmed with the Fates Group again that 5
- 20 | million euros have been sent in tranches as tester. By the
- 21 | way, this investor has decided to bring some of the funds from
- 22 | the U.S."
- 23 Q. One more sentence, please.
- 24 A. "Hopefully this is not a problem from your end."
- 25 Q. And it is from whom?

- 1 | A. It's from Mark Scott.
- 2 MS. LOZANO: If we can scroll down just to see the
- 3 | e-mail before it. And at the top of that second page. Can you
- 4 | tell me -- can we highlight actually the signature line of Dave
- 5 Van Duynhoven.
- 6 | Q. Are you familiar with an entity called JP Fund
- 7 Administration Cayman Ltd.?
- 8 A. I am not.
- 9 MS. LOZANO: Mr. Barile, please publish what's in
- 10 | evidence as Exhibit 1116.
- 11 | Q. Ms. Firuz, do you recognize this?
- 12 | A. I do not.
- 13 Q. Have you ever seen this e-mail before?
- 14 A. No.
- 15 | Q. It is from whom to whom?
- 16 A. It's from Dave Van Duynhoven to M.S. Scott and David Pike.
- 17 | Q. When is it dated?
- 18 | A. July 1st, 2016.
- 19 Q. If you could, please, read to me the first three sentences
- 20 of this e-mail.
- 21 A. "We have received notification of \$3 million coming in from
- 22 | Fates Group LLC. As I understand it, the communication comes
- 23 | in advance of the funds. While the \$2 million is in the
- 24 | account, the \$3 million is not appearing as yet."
- 25 Q. And the top of that e-mail reads "Hello Mark and David."

JBC3SCO2 Firuz - Direct

- 1 And it is signed by Dave Van Duynhoven?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. You can take that down. If you can please publish exhibit
- 4 GX 1140, which is in evidence.
- 5 Have you seen this e-mail before?
- 6 A. No, I haven't.
- 7  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Who is on it?
- 8 A. Mark Scott, looks like it was send to Dave Van Duynhoven,
- 9 cc David Pike.
- 10 | O. On what date?
- 11 A. July 13, 2016.
- 12 Q. What is the subject line?
- 13 A. Executed subscription package Fates Group LLC attached
- 14 original to follow via FedEx by Friday.
- 15 | Q. Is there actually an attachment to this e-mail?
- 16 A. It looks like there is.
- 17 | Q. Okay. And that page, page two, what is on the top line,
- 18 | what does that read?
- 19 A. Fenero Equity Investments II LP.
- 20 Q. Application form?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 | Q. We can take that one down.
- 23 Are you aware, Ms. Firuz, of an entity called OneCoin?
- 24 A. I am not.
- 25 | Q. Do you know whether the transfers that Mr. Armenta made out

- of his Fates account from Morgan Stanley to Fenero on June 29
- 2 and June 30, 2016, related in any way to OneCoin?
- 3 | A. No.
- MS. LOZANO: Mr. Barile, please publish what's in evidence as exhibit GX 1133.
- 6 Q. Ms. Firuz, have you seen this e-mail before?
- 7 | A. I haven't.
  - Q. At the bottom, well, from the top, who is it from and who
- 9  $\parallel$  is it to?

- 10 A. It's from Gilbert Armenta to Maya Antonova, with Diane Cook
- 11 and Anastasia Jankovic cc'd.
- 12 | Q. What is Maya's e-mail address on this?
- 13 A. Maya@OneCoin.eu.
- 14 | Q. What is Anastasia's e-mail address on this?
- 15 A. Ana@RavenR.com.
- 16 0. When is this e-mail dated?
- 17 | A. July 11, 2016.
- 18 | Q. Can you please read the messages from the bottom up, in the
- 19 | bottom, it is an e-mail from whom to whom?
- 20 | A. On the bottom it seems it is an e-mail from Maya Antonova
- 21 | to Gilbert Armenta, and it's saying "Dear Gilbert, could you
- 22 | please confirm the transfer of \$5 million from Zala to Fenero."
- 23 Q. And then above that, how does Mr. Armenta respond?
- 24 A. "Hello Maya, yes, it transfers of 2 million, 3 million.
- 25 | Take care."

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- From Mr. Armenta? Q.
- From Mr. Armenta, correct. 2 Α.

3 MS. LOZANO: Your Honor, may I have one moment?

THE COURT: Sure.

- Q. Ms. Firuz, just a few more questions. Going back to the review process for wires. At Morgan Stanley, if during that process, you are not satisfied with the answers that you receive, and you deem transactions inappropriate or suspicious, is Morgan Stanley -- does Morgan Stanley have the ability to
- 11 Α. Yes.

block the wires?

- 12 And not send the money at all?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 Is that in your discretion during the review process?
- 15 Α. It could be in my discretion, but also certain wires go
- through review by other departments as well, and they can also 16
- 17 stop it.
- Q. As part of that analysis, when you're determining whether 18
- to approve a wire or whether it is not going to be approved and 19
- 20 possibly blocked, is the purpose for which the money is being
- 21 sent part of the analysis in order to determine whether a wire
- 22 should be approved?
- 23 A. Correct, to make sure it makes sense in line with the
- 24 account.
- 25 MS. LOZANO: I have no further questions for this

- 1 | witness. Thank you Ms. Firuz.
- 2 | THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- 3 MR. GARVIN: Yes, your Honor.
- 4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 5 BY MR. GARVIN:
- 6 Q. Good afternoon. I'm David Garvin, I represent Mark Scott
- 7 | in this matter. I don't believe we've ever met before, have
- 8 | we?
- 9 A. I don't think so.
- 10 Q. I have a few questions about some of the documents that
- 11 were just gone over.
- MR. GARVIN: Maybe we could ask Mr. Barile if he would
- 13 be so kind as to help us. Mr. Barile, would you be nice enough
- 14 to put Government's Exhibit 1133 back on the screen, please.
- 15 | Q. This is I believe the last document that you were asked to
- 16 | look at; is that correct?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. We see at the top of this document it is from Gilbert
- 19 | Armenta. Correct?
- 20 A. Correct.
- 21 | Q. Gilbert Armenta, at the time, was a client of Morgan
- 22 | Stanley, right?
- 23 A. That is correct.
- 24 | Q. And Gilbert Armenta also had two associated entities. Zala
- 25 Group was one of them; is that right?

Α. Yes.

- And what was the second group, or excuse me, the second 2 Q.
- 3 entity that he had, was it Fates Group?
- Fates Group LLC. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. Now, in this particular document, it shows that there is a
- carbon copy going to Diane Cook at Zala Group, right? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 And to somebody named Anastasia Jankovic at Ana@RavenR,
- 9 right?
- 10 Α. Yes.
- 11 Finally, the main person that it went to is Maya Antonova
- 12 at one OneCoin, correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Q. Would it be accurate to say, ma'am, that Mark Scott is not
- 15 listed on this document any place? Is that right?
- 16 Correct. Α.
- 17 And there is no evidence from this document that Mark Scott
- 18 ever saw this e-mail, correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. And sitting here today, it would be accurate to say that
- 21 you pretty much saw this e-mail for the first time while you
- 22 were testifying before the ladies and gentlemen of the jury,
- 23 right?
- 24 Α. That is correct.
- 25 So, you have no knowledge of Mark Scott ever being apprised

- of the contents of this e-mail, correct?
- 2 Α. Correct.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

25

- MR. GARVIN: Mr. Barile, you can take that down, and thank you, sir. Mr. Barile, would you nice enough to put up Government's Exhibit 1360, sir.
  - Now I'm placing on to the -- this is another exhibit, and Mr. Barile, if you would be kind enough to enlarge the top portion, please. The top third, yes, sir. Perfect. you.
- 10 Q. You were asked to review this document only a few moments 11 ago. Is that right?
- 12 A. Yes.
- 13 And you were specifically asked to read the first sentence Ο. 14 or first two sentences. Do you recall that?
- 15 A. Yes, I do.
- I'd like to read the entire e-mail. So, isn't it accurate 16 17 to say that the second paragraph does say, "By the way, this 18 investor has decided to bring some of the funds from the U.S. Hopefully this is not a problem from your end. It fits our 19 20 regulations just fine, although this was not originally 21 anticipated. Please let me know if this is an issue for you
- 23 Do you see that?

and we can reject."

- 24 Α. Yes, I do.
  - You were previously asked who Dave Van Duynhoven was.

- you recall that? He was working for JP Fund Administration?
- Yes, I was asked I think if I was familiar with the JP Fund 2
- 3 Administration.
- 4 Q. You do know, based upon your experience working at Morgan
- 5 Stanley, that often private equity funds have a fund
- administrator, correct? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
  - Q. So that is not uncommon, right?
- 9 No, it's not. Α.
- 10 And we see here that we have JP Fund Administration, but
- 11 the fact that you are not familiar with them, there are a lot
- 12 of fund administrators, it would be unfair to think that you
- 13 would know them all, right?
- 14 A. Right.
- 15 Ο. But here we see that Mr. Scott is offering to reject the
- wire transfer of \$5 million from Fates Group; isn't that true? 16
- 17 A. Yes. Based on information from Mr. Duynhoven, it seems
- 18 like he says he can reject.
- 19 Q. Did it come to your knowledge that there were discussions
- 20 between Mr. Armenta and Mr. Scott about this \$5 million being
- 21 rejected?
- 22 I'm sorry, I don't think I saw anything between Mr. Armenta
- 23 and Mark Scott.
- 24 Q. Okay.
- 25 Excuse me for one moment. MR. GARVIN:

- 1 We're going to move on while we're looking for a document.
- 2 Α. Okay.
- 3 One of the questions that was asked to you was emanating
- from Government's Exhibit 711E. 4
- 5 Mr. Barile, would you be nice enough to put 711E, page
- 17, sir. 6
- 7 Do you remember talking about this a little bit, ma'am?
- 8 Α. Yes, I do.
- 9 Q. One of the things that you told the ladies and gentlemen is
- 10 that Morgan Stanley has policies that must be adhered to when
- 11 it comes to wire transfers, right?
- 12 Α. Correct.
- 13 And those policies are designed for at least two purposes. Ο.
- One being to protect Morgan Stanley, correct? 14
- 15 Α. Yes.
- 16 And the other one to meet Morgan Stanley's obligations in
- 17 KYC, know your customer, correct?
- 18 A. Correct. As well as to protect the client.
- 19 Q. You got ahead of me there, but that's where I was going.
- 20 Thank you.
- 21 So, when we look at this, you see that following the
- 22 standards of Morgan Stanley, calls were made to Gilbert
- 23 Armenta, correct?
- 24 Α. Yes.

And we see that Luis Mercado made one call, correct?

- Α. Correct.
- And you know independently from these records and preparing 2
- 3 your testimony for today, that you also made a call, right?
- 4 Α. Correct.
- 5 And it would be fair to say that, and accurate, that you
- 6 don't remember what Gilbert told you; is that right?
  - I cannot recall, no, I can only guess.
- One thing you do know is it must have been reasonable, 8
- 9 because if he would have told you something unreasonable, you
- 10 would have never authorized this wire transfer, right?
- 11 A. We wouldn't be able to send the wire, yes.
- 12 MR. GARVIN: Thank you, sir. We can take that down.
- 13 We're going to please look at Government's Exhibit 1437. I'm
- 14 sorry. We're not done. 1437.
- This 1437 shows that there is going to be \$3 million that 15
- is going to be wired for an investment into ZIIXI. Do you see 16
- 17 that at the bottom, correct?
- 18 A. Yes.
- And you have also shown us or discussed with us the 2 19
- 20 million of the wire was going to go to an investment XIII.
- 21 Right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 Now, again, Mr. Mark Scott is not on this e-mail anywhere,
- 24 correct?
- 25 Α. Correct.

SCO2 Firuz - Cross

- 1 | Q. So, sitting here today, you have no idea, because nobody
- 2 | supplied you with any information as to what Mark Scott knew
- 3 | about this e-mail; is that correct?
- 4 A. That is correct.
- 5 | Q. We have no way of telling what Mr. Armenta represented to
- 6 Mr. Scott, if anything. Correct?
- 7 A. I can't answer that. There might be some e-mails.
- Q. Well, sitting here as we are right now, we are unaware of
- 9 such a thing, right?
- 10 MS. LOZANO: Objection.
- 11 THE COURT: Overruled.
- 12 Q. That means you can answer.
- 13 A. Sorry. Correct.
- MR. GARVIN: If you would be kind enough to put 1055
- 15  $\parallel$  up, please.
- 16 Q. You were asked some questions about Exhibit 1055. And
- 17 Mr. Barile has been nice enough to put it on the screen. So
- 18 | let's start with Ruja, Dr. Ruja Ignatova on the very first
- 19 | line. Do you see that, ma'am?
- 20 | A. Yes, I do.
- 21 | Q. It's Ruja@OneCoin.eu, correct?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 | Q. And "eu" would suggest this is a European e-mail address,
- 24 right?
- 25 A. It does.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

1 MS. LOZANO: Objection.

THE COURT: Overruled.

- Q. You were asked about -- well, maybe you weren't. You were asked about OneCoin, and you said that you had no knowledge of OneCoin prior to testifying here today. Is that correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Now, if you would be kind enough, ma'am. Could you please read to the ladies and gentlemen of the jury the first three sentences of this e-mail.
- "Hi Ruja, for your information Gilbert asked me about your new structure. I gave nothing away, but stating that you will be operating through Ireland mainly. I am not sharing that you will be banking offshore and where."
- Q. Now, your reading of this document, doesn't it appear that Mr. Scott is not sharing information to Gilbert Armenta from the -- from reading of this e-mail?

MS. LOZANO: Objection. Calls for characterization. THE COURT: Overruled.

It seems that it does, yes, he's not sharing information. Α. (Continued on next page)

21

22

23

24

- 1 MR. GARVIN: Thank you. You can take that down.
- Q. Now, I want to talk about the Fenero Funds just for a couple of seconds, if we may.
  - A. Sure.

- Q. Would it be accurate to say that Fenero Equity Investments did not have an account at Morgan Stanley during this period of
- 7 | time, to your knowledge?
- 8 A. To my knowledge, no.
- 9 Q. And would that also be true with Fenero Equity Investments
  10 number 2? Would that also be true?
- 11 A. Correct.
- 12 Q. And just to refresh your memory, we saw in Government
- 13 Exhibit 1437 and Government Exhibit 711E there were references
- 14 | that those wire transfers were going to go to Fenero Equity
- 15 | Investments and Fenero Equity Investments 2; is that correct?
- 16 A. Fenero Equity Investments 2 LP I believe is what was on the
- 17 document.
- 18 Q. And so it would be fair to say -- and accurate -- that
- 19 | Fenero Equity Investments 2 LP did not have an account at
- 20 | Morgan Stanley?
- 21 A. Not to my knowledge.
- 22 | Q. And so I'd like to ask you the same thing with Mark Scott.
- 23 | Would it be accurate to say that in preparation of your
- 24 | testimony today that you have reviewed a number of records? Is
- 25 | that true?

- That is correct. Α.
- And that in cooperation with the United States and in this 2 Q.
- 3 case Morgan Stanley has produced a great number of documents,
- 4 correct?

- 5 Α. Correct.
- 6 And in reviewing those documents in preparation of your
- 7 testimony today you saw no documentation that would suggest
- that my client Mark Scott was a client of Morgan Stanley; is 8
- 9 that right?
- 10 Α. That is correct.
- 11 In fact there is nothing in the e-mails and the bank
- records that Morgan Stanley produced that would suggest that 12
- 13 anyone ever spoke with Mark Scott during this period of time;
- 14 isn't that true?
- 15 Α. That is true.
- Q. And as a result of that it would be equally accurate to 16
- 17 state that Morgan Stanley was not influenced by Mark Scott in
- deciding whether or not to honor any wire transfer for Gilbert 18
- Armenta; isn't that true? 19
- 20 MS. LOZANO: Objection.
- 21 THE COURT: Sustained.
- 22 Q. Isn't it true that -- well, let me ask it a different way.
- 23 To your knowledge, prior to making a decision on any
- 24 wire transfer for Gilbert Armenta, did Morgan Stanley have any
- 25 communication with Mark Scott?

Α.

1

Not to my knowledge.

- Are you aware of an entity by the name of MSS International 2 Q.
- 3 Consultants BVI LTD?
- I am not. 4 Α.
- 5 Q. And in reviewing the records that you saw before you
- testified today, have you ever seen that name in any of those 6
- 7 records?
- I don't believe it was referenced, no. 8
- 9 There are three entities I have to ask you about, so please
- 10 bear with me. I know it's boring.
- 11 I have all day.
- 12 I appreciate it. MSS International Consultants LLC, have
- 13 you seen that name in any of the documents in preparation of
- 14 this case?
- 15 Α. I don't believe so, no.
- How about Fenero Financial Switzerland LP? 16 0.
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 And there is only a couple left. Fenero Equity Investments
- 19 Cayman 1 LP. Have you seen that?
- 20 Specifically I don't believe it was Cayman 1 LP, no. Α.
- 21 And Fenero Equity Investments Ireland Limited. Q.
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 And the final one, Fenero Fintech Europe LP? 0.
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 I'm going to wrap up, but I wanted to make one final point Q.

JBC7SCO3 Firuz - cross

clear. Sitting here today, no one has told you anything -meaning from the bank -- told you anything that they had any dealings at Morgan Stanley with Mark Scott; is that correct? A. Yes, to my knowledge.

MR. GARVIN: Thank you. I have no further -- oh, one second. Maybe I do.

Your Honor, I'm told we need a sidebar.

THE COURT: OK.

(Continued on next page)

(At the side bar)

MR. GARVIN: Your Honor, during the direct examination the government elicited testimony from the witness that there were \$5 million of wire transfers sent from Gilbert Armenta to the Fenero Equity investment funds.

THE COURT: I do recall that may have been mentioned.

MR. DIMASE: I think we may be able to cut through this. There may not be a dispute really in the end.

The government was planning to admit this exhibit through the next witness as a government exhibit. We do have some concerns about the ability of the defendant to admit it since it is his own statement and it may be offered for the truth. But since the government plans to admit it anyway, it's fine with us. We would just ask that the defense use the government exhibit version that we were planning to admit through the next witness. And I can give that and it can be shown.

THE COURT: Do you care?

MR. GARVIN: I don't mind there being a duplicate number for this, but at some point the exhibits will go back to the jury room and they will put the defense exhibits in one box and the government exhibits in other box, and so I would really like it to be a defense exhibit.

THE COURT: You can use your own exhibit.

(Continued on next page)

(In open court)

MR. GARVIN: Thank you. Your Honor, at this time we would move into evidence Defendant's Exhibit 624, which is a dual exhibit. It's also Government Exhibit 1433. We're going to ask to please put Government Exhibit 1433 on the screen, and we will using the government's exhibit number to conclude this examination.

THE COURT: Any objection?

MR. LOZARO: Yes, your Honor, based on what we raised at side bar.

THE COURT: Overruled.

(Defendant's Exhibit 624 received in evidence)

- Q. Now, ma'am, you will recall while you and I have been talking that we discussed the fact that the \$5 million was indeed transferred to the Fenero Equity Investment Fund, correct?
- A. They were to Fenero Equity Investments 2 LP.
- Q. And also I went over, and we read that the e-mail in which Mark Scott offered if there was a problem to return the money; isn't that correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. And so now I'm going to show you what is marked 1433, which is also Defendant's Exhibit 624, and I'd like you to, if we could, can we go to the second page of this document. I stand corrected. I need to take a moment. Can we take that down for

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Firuz - cross

one moment, please. Would you be kind enough to put it back up.

You can see that this is a letter that is made out to Fates Group; is that correct?

- Α. Correct.
- And also care of Zala Group.
  - Α. Yes.
- And we know both of those entities are related to Gilbert 8 Q. 9 Armenta, correct?
- 10 Α. That's correct.
  - And if we move a little bit further down to the first paragraph it talks about -- reference is made to the subscription documents of the fund executed by you on July 13, 2016. The subscription agreement and our letter of April 3, 2016 (the letter), rejecting your subscription and terminating

Do you see that?

the subscription agreement.

- Yes, I do. 18 Α.
  - It continues to say, "As stated, as of the issue date of the letter, we had only obtained the initial U.S. \$10 million payment from you. As per your request, dated April 10, 2017, we have since returned the initial payment to the account of Fates Group LLC at Global Bank of Commerce via Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas in New York as intermediary (the Fates account)."

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Do you recall now that when you sent your wires whether or not Deutsche Bank was involved?
- 3 A. Yes, they were the intermediary bank as well as final 4 beneficiary.
  - Q. And to your knowledge do you know one way or the other whether the \$5 million was part of a \$10 million payment?
  - I do not know.
  - Finally on this document it states, "By signing below, you acknowledge and confirm that the initial payment has been received in full by you in the Fates account." Do you see that?
- 12 A. I do see that.
- 13 MR. GARVIN: I have no further questions. Thank you.
- 14 THE COURT: Redirect?
- 15 MS. LOZANO: Just briefly, your Honor.
- REDIRECT EXAMINATION 16
- 17 BY MS. LOZANO:
- Q. Can we put 1433 back up. Mr. Firuz, counsel -- counsel was 18 asking about this exhibit. If we could turn to page 2 of the 19
- 20 exhibit. This reported rejection letter is dated when?
- 21 April 24, 2017. Α.
- 22 Remind us again when the \$2 million and \$3 million out of 23 the Fates account to the Fenero account occurred.
- 24 On June 29, 2016 and June 30, 2016. Α.
- So this letter dated April 24, 2017 is approximately ten 25

Firuz - redirect

- 1 months after the Fates wires to Fenero, right?
- 2 Α. Correct.
- 3 Q. And in the body of this letter, the second paragraph, is it
- 4 fair to say that the reported rejection resulted in the money
- 5 being sent to yet another Fates Group account at Global Bank of
- 6 Commerce?
- 7 Α. Correct.
- I'd like to now pull up Exhibit 1055, which counsel also 8
- 9 asked you about, and I would like to highlight the second
- 10 paragraph. Now, again, who is this from?
- 11 It's from Mark Scott.
- 12 Q. To who?
- 13 Α. Dr. Ruja Ignatova.
- 14 Q. And in the first sentence it references Mr. Armenta by his
- 15 first name, is that right, Gilbert?
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Is it fair to say that the highlighted second paragraph is
- 18 Mr. Scott telling Ruja that if you have money with Mr. Armenta
- and you want to transfer that money, send it through my --19
- 20 Mr. Scott's -- escrow in the U.S. or BVI and we can do it
- 21 immediately? Is that fair?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 And the date of this e-mail? 0.
- 24 March 2, 2016. Α.
- 25 Thank you, Ms. Firuz. I have nothing MS. LOZANO:

1 further.

2

3

4

5

6

MR. GARVIN: I do have one question with regard to that document.

THE COURT: OK.

RECROSS EXAMINATION

- BY MR. GARVIN:
- Q. Now, with regard to the letter, counsel just asked you if there was yet a different entity that the funds were returned
- 9 | to. Do you remember that question?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. But in fairness, did this document also make reference to compliance and efforts to adhere to compliance? In particular
- 13 | let me read --
- 14 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. OK. So, Mr. Scott is saying, "For compliance reasons, and as mentioned previously, we need to obtain written confirmation
- 17 | that the initial payment (minus reasonable wiring expenses both
- 18 ways) has found its way into the Fates account." Does it not
- 19 provide that?
- 20 A. Yes, it does.
- 21 Q. And, ma'am, for compliance purposes the Fates account would
- 22 | have been the Fates account at Morgan Stanley?
- 23 A. I don't believe so.
- MS. LOZANO: Objection.
- MR. GARVIN: Thank you. I have no further questions.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: OK. Nothing further. Mr. Firuz, you may step down.

And, ladies and gentlemen, my record is still perfect. Every time a lawyer says he has just one more question, he also has more.

Government, call your next witness.

MR. DIMASE: May I just have one moment to consult with defense counsel about a couple of exhibits?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. DIMASE: Your Honor, the government calls Mr. Moshe Kishore.

THE COURT: Sir, please step up to the witness stand and remain standing.

MOSHE KISHORE,

called as a witness by the government,

having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

THE COURT: Sir, you may be seated. Please speak directly into the microphone, and if I could ask you to begin by stating your full name and spelling both your first and last name.

THE WITNESS: Moshe Kishore, M-o-s-h-e K-i-s-h-o-r-e.

THE COURT: Mr. DiMase.

MR. DIMASE: Thank you, your Honor. May I approach to give the witness a binder?

THE COURT: You may.

JBC7SCO3 Kishore - direct

- 1 DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 2 BY MR. DIMASE:
- 3 Q. Good afternoon.
- 4 A. Good afternoon.
- 5 Q. I will just ask you to use the microphone.
- 6 A. Can you hear me now?
- 7 Q. That's great. Mr. Kishore, where do you work?
- 8 A. I work for Iberia Bank.
- 9 Q. What is your current position at Iberia Bank.
- 10 A. A commercial relationship banker.
- 11 | Q. And what are your duties and responsibilities in that
- 12 position?
- 13 A. Primarily business development and commercial lending.
- 14 Q. Do you work at a particular location of Iberia Bank?
- 15 | A. Yes, I do.
- 16 | O. With is that?
- 17 A. Plantation, Florida, Broward County.
- 18 | Q. Broward County you said?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. Where is that in relation to Fort Lauderdale, Florida?
- 21 A. Fort Lauderdale is in Broward County.
- 22 | Q. How far is Plantation?
- 23 | A. Plantation is say about ten miles, 15 miles west of Fort
- 24 | Lauderdale.
- 25 | Q. Let me go back just a little bit and discuss your

JBC7SCO3

background briefly. Did you attend college? 1

- 2 Α. Yes.
- 3 Where you earned your degree? 0.
- 4 No. Α.
- 5 And where did you go after you finished?
- I finished -- it was an associates course. After I 6 Α.
- 7 finished I went to work for a mortgage company for a year.
- 8 Then after that I joined Washington Mutual Bank.
- 9 How long were you there? Ο.
- 10 Α. Nine years.
- 11 0. In what position?
- 12 I was in various positions, as a branch manager, a
- 13 commercial banker.
- 14 Where did you go after Washington Mutual?
- I moved to -- I relocated to Florida. I came here for the 15 Α.
- 16 Wells Fargo and Wachovia merger.
- 17 And go on. You were working at Wells Fargo in what
- 18 capacity?
- 19 As a branch manager. Α.
- 20 For how long? 0.
- 21 I believe two years. Α.
- 22 Where did you go after that? Q.
- 23 After that I went to Regents Bank. Α.
- 24 Ο. And how long were you there?
- 25 I believe three years. Α.

JBC7SCO3 Kishore - direct

- 1 | Q. In what capacity?
- 2 A. As a branch manager.
- 3 | Q. And where did you go after that?
- 4 A. Sabadell United Bank.
- 5 | Q. When was that?
- 6 A. I started Sabadell in November 2014.
- 7 Q. Does Sabadell have any relationship to the bank you work
- 8 | for now, Iberia?
- 9 A. Yes, Sabadell Bank was acquired by Iberia Bank.
- 10 | Q. So you've basically worked for the same entity since 2014;
- 11 | is that accurate?
- 12 | A. Yes.
- 13 | Q. And is Iberia Bank currently in an acquisition as well?
- 14 A. Yes, as of last Monday First Horizon Bank of Tennessee has
- 15 | agreed to buy Iberia Bank.
- 16 Q. So you will be working for a third bank.
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18 Q. So let me direct your attention to around March of 2016.
- 19 | What was the name of the bank you were working for at that
- 20 | time?
- 21 A. Sabadell United Bank.
- 22 | Q. And what was your position with Sabadell at that time?
- 23 | A. I was a branch manager.
- 24 | Q. Was that also in Plantation, Florida?
- 25 A. Yes.

4

7

8

- 1 And what were your duties and responsibilities in that 2 position as a branch manager?
  - Branch management, oversight and business development.
    - Are both Sabadell -- withdrawn. Q.

5 At the time was Sabadell United Bank insured by the 6 FDIC?

- Yes, it was. Α.
  - And is Iberia Bank today insured by the FDIC as well?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 As a branch manager and business development personnel, are 11 you familiar with Sabadell Bank's policies regarding wire
- 12 transfers?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- And what if any policy did Sabadell have regarding asking 14 the bank customers for the purpose of a wire transfer prior to 15 16 making a transfer?
- 17 It was the requirement of the bank to make sure that the 18 purpose of the wire needs to be stated by the client.
- 19 Can you say again? Q.
- 20 It was the requirement of the bank that the purpose of the 21 wire needed to be stated before processing the wire request.
- 22 And what were some of the reasons for that policy?
- 23 We just want to make sure that we're in compliance, and we 24 don't want to do anything against the law, and we want to make 25 sure that the purpose is a legitimate and legal purpose.

- Now, did you receive training as to money laundering and compliance procedures while at Sabadell Bank?
- Yes. Α.

2

3

6

- 4 What was Sabadell's policy back in 2016 regarding the Ο. 5 reporting of suspicious activity in connection with wire
- 7 A. Any suspicious activities would be reported to our compliance department, which was handled through our back 8 9 office that was away from our branch offices. And we would 10 make sure that our management folks are alerted. And also we 11 have a regional operations field person who will also be
- 12 informed of any red flags.

transfers at the branch?

- 13 Regional? 0.
- 14 An operations officer. Α.
- What was that person's role? 15 Q.
- That person's role was a support role for five to six 16 17 offices on things compliance-related. They were the person.
- Q. So they didn't work -- that person didn't work in the 18
- 19 branch with you. They would have been assigned to more than
- 20 one branch?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Did all staff at the branch level at Sabadell have
- 23 discretion to report any suspicious activity in connection with
- 24 a wire transfer?
- 25 All employees. Α.

- 1 Q. And what kinds of suspicious activity might a branch
- employee who has received a wire request from a customer be
  looking for?
- A. Looking, first of all, to make sure that it is a client who is requesting the wire transfer, verification of the compliant, and, secondly, they want to make sure that it's not being sent to a sanctioned country, and we also look to see what the
- 9 Q. And again what is the reason for asking for the purpose?
- 10 A. The purpose is to make sure that all of the transfers that
  11 we do are in compliance and there is no illegal activities.
  - Q. Would that include fraud, money laundering and other such criminal activity?
- 14 | A. Yes.

12

13

15

16

17

18

20

21

- THE COURT: Mr. DiMase, it's now 12:45 so we're going to take our afternoon break. Ladies and gentlemen, do not discuss the case.
  - You may step down.

purpose of the wire transfer is.

- 19 (Jury not present)
  - THE COURT: Is there anything the parties wish to discuss?
- MR. GARVIN: No, your Honor.
- 23 THE COURT: Anything from the government? Anything to 24 bring up?
- MR. DIMASE: We did want to flag a potential concern.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I don't know that we'll get to it today. We received I think it was either late last night or this morning a list of exhibits that the defense may seek to introduce through the Locke Lord witness, and we have likely objections to a number of those exhibits on at least two different grounds: One, that they are inadmissible hearsay when offered by the defendant, to the extent they are his own statements and offered for the Then the second concern is a number of them don't copy the defendant at all; they're just e-mails between another attorney at Locke Lord and Ruja, and we just don't see how they're relevant or how they're admissible as nonhearsay.

So, we wanted to flag those concerns for the Court We can go through them one by one, or we can wait until the defense attempts to admit them, but we wanted to put that on the record.

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Yes, I think unless we're going to get to the cross-examination today, that might be productive for us to talk. Mr. DiMase can let us know which ones he objects to, the basis. We have included more than we will offer frankly because we want to refresh the witness's memory and otherwise some professional questions, but we're happy to work that out.

MR. DIMASE: We may or may not get to cross today of that witness.

> OK, very well. Don't be late. THE COURT:

JBC7SC03 Kishore - direct

1 (Recess)

2 | (Jury present)

3 THE COURT: Everyone please be seated.

Mr. DiMase.

MR. DIMASE: Yes, your Honor.

MOSHE KISHORE, resumed.

DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued)

BY MR. DIMASE:

- Q. Mr. Kishore, before the break we were discussing the concerns that might cause a branch employee to report to other compliance employees at the bank. Do you recall that?
- 12 | A. Yes.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 13 Q. OK. And to be clear, when this sort of concern is reported
- 14 up, is that at the time the customer is attempting to make a
- 15 | wire or send a wire?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. And what are the potential outcomes of a report by a branch
- 18 | level employee to the field officer at the compliance
- 19 department at the bank?
- 20 A. Additional information will be requested by our back office
- 21 | compliance.
- 22 | Q. From the customer?
- 23 | A. From the customer, the specific nature of the wire,
- 24 depending on the situation, and the bank could refuse to do the
- 25 wire if it finds whatever, the cause of the wire could not be

4

8

9

- That could be a possible outcome.
- And is it also possible they could be satisfied with the 2 Q. 3 additional information and agree to send the wire?
  - Α. Yes.
- 5 In addition to this real-time reporting by branch level 6 employees, are you aware of additional monitoring that is done 7 after the wire transfer is sent?
  - Yes. Α.
  - Generally speaking, what's the nature of that monitoring? Ο.
- Typically our back office would request the specific 11 They would give us a list of questions to be asked of 12 the client regarding that particular transaction. And we would 13 update that from the client, and we would report that to our
- 14 BSA compliance department, our wire department, and depending
- 15 on the answers that they received, if it's satisfactory then
- there won't be any further action. If the bank office feels 16
- 17 that this was a wire or a transaction that they they're not
- 18 comfortable with, they would ask us to exit the relationship,
- close the account. 19
- 20 With that customer? 0.
- With that customer. 21 Α.
- 22 To be clear, is it fair to say not every single wire that
- 23 the bank processes is subject to this post-transaction
- 24 monitoring? Just certain wires?
- 25 Certain wires. Α.

- And do you have any personal knowledge about how the 1
- 2 compliance department picks the particular wires to focus on
- 3 after the fact?
- No. No, I don't. 4 Α.
- 5 And you mentioned BSA, an the BSA department?
- Bank Secrecy Act. 6 Α.
- 7 And the Bank Secrecy Act department that's the same as the
- compliance department? 8
- 9 Correct. Α.
- 10 Let me now direct your attention back to March of 2016.
- 11 You said that you were a branch manager at the Sabadell branch
- 12 in Plantation, Florida; is that right?
- 13 Correct. Α.
- 14 As a branch manager at the bank at that time, were you
- familiar with the books and business records kept by Sabadell 15
- 16 Bank as a part of its usual recordkeeping procedures?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. Do those records include things like bank records, bank
- account opening statements, correspondence with bank customers, 19
- 20 wire transfer documents and other similar materials?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 I'm going to ask you to take a look at that binder that's
- 23 sitting to your left. And that binder contains what have been
- 24 marked as Government's Exhibits 401 through 409, and 411
- 25 through 422. Did you have a chance to review the documents in

SCO3 Kishore - direct

- 1 | that binder prior to your testimony today?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 Q. What are they?
- 4 A. These are bank communications specifically between myself
- 5 and my service manager at that time to Mr. Gilbert Armenta from
- 6 the Zala Group and Fates Group and his assistant at that time
- 7 Ms. Giselle Valentin and communications regarding what types of
- 8 accounts to be set up, wire transfer agreement and the
- 9 | signature card that we require from all clients to be kept on
- 10 | file.
- 11 | Q. And are those -- were those records kept in the normal
- 12 | course of Sabadell's business?
- 13 A. Correct.
- 14 | Q. Was it the standard practice of Sabadell Bank to keep those
- 15 | kinds of records?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. Was the information contained in the records recorded or
- 18 kept at the time of the transactions described on the record?
- 19 | A. Yes.
- 20 | Q. And did the person who kept the records have a duty to keep
- 21 | them?
- 22 A. Yes.
- MR. DIMASE: We offer 401 through 409 and 411 through
- 24 422.
- MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: No objection.

8

THE COURT: Those exhibits will be received.

- (Government Exhibits 401 through 409 and 411 through 2
- 3 422 received in evidence)
- 4 Q. Mr. Kishore, in your capacity as a branch manager at the
- 5 bank, did there come a time when you met a customer named
- Gilbert Armenta? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
  - And when was that approximately? What month?
- 9 Α. March of 2016.
- 10 I will ask you to look at Government Exhibit 102 already in evidence. 11
- 12 And please publish it for the jury as well.
- 13 Do you recognize the individual in that photo?
- 14 That's Mr. Armenta. Α.
- I'm going to ask you now to look at Government Exhibit 420. 15 Q.
- Mr. Barile, if we could publish that. 16
- 17 Directing your attention first -- well, let's look at
- 18 the very top of this e-mail. Who is it between?
- It's between myself and Mr. Armenta. 19 Α.
- 20 And the subject line is what? 0.
- 21 Α. Accounts.
- 22 Q. And it's dated March 8, 2016?
- 23 It's dated -- yes. Α.
- 24 Let's go to the bottom e-mail here. Who wrote that e-mail? Ο.
- 25 Α. I did to Mr. Armenta.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

- And it starts out, "Per our discussion yesterday, we have established the requested accounts and treasury services."
- With respect to the discussion referenced in this, what kind of discussion was that? In other words, was it on the phone? In person?
- It was an in-person meeting.
- Where was the meeting?
  - It was at Mr. Armenta's office.
- 9 And what did you -- who else was at the meeting besides you 10 and Mr. Armenta?
- 11 It was me, Mr. Armenta, and his assistant Giselle came in 12 and was in and out.
  - And can you briefly summarize for the jury what you discussed with Mr. Armenta I quess it was March 7, 2016 at his offices?
    - It's customary for me to meet with clients that I bring into the bank to make sure that they understand our products and services. And we also discuss their particular needs of what they want to do, and based on their direction we establish the accounts based on the company needs and the client requests.
- 22 Q. How many companies did Mr. Armenta speak with you about 23 during the conversation?
- 24 Α. Two companies.
- 25 And what were the names of the company?

- Α. Zala Group and Fates.
- 2 Fates Group? Q.
- 3 Α. Yep.

- And to your understanding, were they physically located in 4 Q.
- 5 the same office space where you were meeting with Mr. Armenta
- that day? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- 8 Where was that; do you remember? Generally speaking what
- 9 town?
- 10 Downtown Fort Lauderdale, Florida.
- 11 Did Mr. Armenta talk to you about the business that those
- 12 two companies were in?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Could you summarize what he said about the Zala Group and
- 15 Fates Group?
- 16 Zala Group was a company that was investing in renewal
- energies; that's their business. Fates Group he had mentioned 17
- 18 was a family owned investment private equity type of company.
- 19 Q. And this is all information that he provided to you at that
- 20 time.
- 21 A. Yes.
- 22 Q. And do you recall how Mr. Armenta was dressed that day when
- 23 you met with him?
- 24 A. Yes, he was wearing a designer shirt, and I happened to
- 25 recognize the logo, it was a Salvatore Ferragamo shirt he was

wearing.

- Anything else you noticed about what he was wearing? 2 Q.
- 3 He had a very expensive watch. Α.
- Do you remember the kind of watch? 4 Q.
- 5 It could have been a Patek Phillipe or a Elonge & Suna.
- Sorry. I'm not sure I'm familiar with those brands. Are 6 0.
- 7 those luxury watch brands?
- Very high-end luxury watch brands. 8
- 9 Q. Do you recall anything else about your interaction with Mr.
- 10 Armenta at his office that day, anything that sticks in your
- mind? 11
- 12 The initial meeting I remember him giving me a very, very
- 13 firm handshake, which was very excruciating to a point.
- 14 Was that the only time you saw Mr. Armenta in 2016? Q.
- I believe I saw him once -- once more when I was coming 15
- from his office. I had just met with the secretary, and I 16
- believe I saw him drive from his -- they have underground 17
- 18 parking garages in the high-rise buildings where his offices
- 19 were. I may have seen him in I thought it may have been either
- 20 a Bentley or Rolls Royce he was driving out.
- 21 That he was driving? Q.
- 22 Driving out. And was in the opposite direction.
- 23 Other than -- well, let me go back to Government Exhibit
- 24 420. Just could you read the next two sentences after the
- 25 first sentence that you focused on?

420? Α.

- 2 The one that's up on the screen. Q.
- 3 "For Zala Group we have set up an operating payroll and a Α.
- 4 money market savings account with yourself and Giselle as
- 5 signers. For the Fates Group we have established one main
- 6 account and designated you as the sole signer."
- 7 You go on to say?
- "I spoke to Giselle and scheduled to meet with her tomorrow 8
- 9 and go over the paperwork/disclosures/wiring instructions."
- 10 Ο. And Giselle?
- 11 Mr. Armenta's assistant.
- 12 Ο. That's Giselle Valentin.
- 13 Mr. Armenta responds: We look forward to working with
- 14 you and Sabadell again.
- 15 You ultimately respond "You are very welcome.
- 16 vou."
- 17 So was there a meeting with Giselle subsequent to this
- 18 initial meeting with Mr. Armenta?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 And who at the bank attended that meeting? 0.
- 21 Myself and my service manager Cecila Stagg. Α.
- 22 Ms. Stagg worked with you at the Plantation branch? Ο.
- 23 Α. Yes.
- 24 Ο. I'm going to show you Exhibit 401 in evidence.
- 25 If you could publish it to the jury.

- 1 And this is from Ms. Stagg to G Valentin. What date is it?
- 3 March 10, 2016. Α.
- And in it Ms. Stagg says, "It was a pleasure meeting with 4 Q.
- 5 you yesterday." Is that a reference to the meeting you are
- describing? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.

- Was Mr. Armenta at that meeting or just Ms. Valentin? 8
- 9 Just Ms. Valentin. Α.
- 10 Q. And what was discussed -- withdrawn. You said during that
- 11 meeting you discussed the account opening and account opening
- 12 documents?
- 13 Yes, where the documents need to be signed and so forth. Α.
- 14 Let me ask you to look at Exhibit 422 in evidence. What is Q.
- the date on this e-mail? 15
- March 11, 2016. 16 Α.
- 17 And this is from Giselle to you and Ms. Stagg?
- 18 Α. Yes.
- 19 And the subject line is what? Q.
- 20 New account docs. Α.
- 21 What did Giselle say? Q.
- 22 Α. "Hi team. Attached please find the signed documents needed
- 23 in order to complete the process for our new accounts. Please
- 24 review them and advise if we need anything further. Thanking
- 25 you in advance."

- If we could scroll down to page 2. This is a treasury 1
- management master implementation form. What does that mean? 2
- 3 This is a document that explains to the client. It's one
- of the services we offer to our credit management regarding 4
- 5 wire transfers and the type of account that we've established
- for the client. 6
- 7 Q. And who is the main point of contact for this customer
- relationship, according to the document? 8
- 9 A. Mr. Gilbert Armenta.
- 10 And does this also refresh your memory as to where this
- 11 company was located?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 Where is that? 0.
- 14 110 East Broward Boulevard, Suite 1900, Fort Lauderdale,
- 15 Florida, 33301:
- And if we could scroll down to page 3. Keep going down, 16
- 17 please. Let's talk on this page of the exhibit, 422.
- 18 account is this listing?
- 19 This is an account for Zala Group, and this is the
- signature card on the account a. 20
- 21 And who were the signators on the account? Q.
- 22 Mr. Armenta and Giselle Valentin.
- 23 Were those two individuals -- how many accounts in total
- 24 were set up for Mr. Armenta at the time?
- 25 I would have to refer back to the e-mail, but whatever I

- put in my e-mail.
- Was it four accounts? 2 Q.
- I believe three for Zala and one for Fates. 3
- 4 And with respect to the signatories, who were the Q.
- 5 signatories on those four accounts, if you recall?
- Anything that was Zala related Giselle and Mr. Armenta were 6
- 7 the signatures; for Fates Group it was just Mr. Armenta.
- And who was listed as the beneficial owner of the accounts? 8
- 9 On both companies the final beneficial owner was
- 10 Mr. Gilbert Armenta.
- 11 If we can just scroll down to the next page. So this is
- 12 the Fates Group account agreement?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 And that was just Gilbert Armenta as signatory?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- Let me now turn to Government Exhibit 421. Is this also an 16
- 17 e-mail?
- 18 Yes. Α.
- 19 And it's from Cecila Stagg?
- 20 This is from Cecila Stagg, my service manager, to Giselle
- 21 Valentin and a copy to me. Subject was new account.
- 22 date of the e-mail was Friday, March 18, 2016.
- 23 Q. And does this refresh your memory about how many accounts
- 24 were opened?
- 25 Three for Zala and one for Fates. Four.

And based on the e-mail, is it fair to say those are

- 2 payroll operating and money market accounts for Zala Group?
- 3 That's correct. Α.
- And a general account for Fates Group? 4 Q.
- 5 Α. Yes.

- Were the accounts that Mr. Armenta set up in March of 2016 6
- 7 ultimately funded?
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 And without getting into the specific dollar figures, could
- 10 you give the jury a sense of the volume of money coming in?
- 11 Was it thousands? Tens of thousands? Hundreds of thousands?
- 12 Millions?
- 13 Millions. Α.
- 14 Do you recall the particular issues with wire transfers
- 15 from the accounts between March 2016 and August 2016?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Do you remember a time when you or other employees saw a
- 18 wire timing cut-off?
- 19 Α. Yes.
- 20 Can you please describe that for the jury?
- 21 There was a time there was a wire that was requested by Mr.
- 22 Armenta, but we have to call the client to personally verify
- 23 they have a phone before we can send the wire. And from the
- 24 time we got the request from the time we were actually able to
- 25 speak to Mr. Armenta there was a delay, so the wire went up

- 1 late and Mr. Armenta was not available, so I had to speak to
- another member in their office to apologize for the delay, and 2
- 3 I stated that the wire was processed now since we got the
- confirmation to send from Mr. Armenta. 4
- 5 Do you remember where that was going to?
- I could be wrong. It was going someplace to Europe and 6
- 7 Georgia.
- 8 Q. The country of Georgia?
- 9 Yes. Α.
- 10 And when you say they were going to someplace, a bank?
- 11 Yes, it was going to a bank.
- 12 Was that the only wire transfer you recollect going to a
- 13 bank in Georgia, or were there other wires that also went to a
- 14 bank?
- 15 Α. No, that's the only thing I can recall.
- Directing your attention to August 2016, what, if anything, 16
- 17 happened with respect to the accounts, these four accounts, at
- 18 that point?
- 19 August 2016? Α.
- 20 0. Yes.
- 21 We were informed by our compliance office to close the
- 22 accounts and to exit the relationship for Zala Group and Fates
- 23 Group.
- 24 Were you told why? Ο.
- 25 At the branch level we were not given any more information. Α.

- They just said we were going to exit the relationship. were exercising their right to close the account.
- 3 I will show you Government Exhibit 419. What is this?
- This is a letter that I sent Mr. Armenta stating that the 4 Α.
- 5 bank has decided to close the accounts, and we have given them
- until September the 12, 2016 to close their accounts and 6
- 7 complete their business at Sabadell United Bank.
- Q. And that was about a month later? They were given about a 8
- 9 month?
- 10 A. Yes, 30 days.
- 11 MR. DIMASE: Your Honor, the government now offers
- 12 Exhibit 4108 into evidence.
- 13 THE COURT: Any objections?
- 14 MR. GARVIN: I am just preserving what we discussed at
- side bar earlier. Other than that, no objection. 15
- THE COURT: Very well. That exhibit will be received. 16
- 17 (Government Exhibit 4108 received in evidence)
- 18 Can we publish that. Q.
- 19 Mr. Kishore, have you ever seen this before?
- 20 I'm sorry? Α.
- 21 Have you seen this e-mail before? Q.
- 22 Α. No.
- 23 And there is an e-mail from Diane Cook? 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And it's sent to Ms.law@gmail.com on Wednesday, August 17,

- So that would be about five days after you sent your 1 2016. letter to Mr. Armenta; is that correct? 2
- 3 Correct. Α.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

4 And it says, "Mark, please find attached a scan of a letter Ο. 5 that is being sent to you at the instruction of Gilbert. 6 will call you later to discuss. Thanks.

And if we turn to page 2, and this appears to be a slightly different letter than the letter that was -- that you described earlier. Do you recall sending this letter as well? Α. No.

- This letter appears to be similar in that it indicates that Sabadell is closing a series of accounts including accounts for Zala and Fates Group? Do you see that?
- Yes, but I did not send this letter. Α.
- You don't recall sending this particular letter? 15 Q. OK.
- 16 Α. No.
  - In any event, what it says is, "Pursuant to our depository account agreement, Sabadell has elected to close the above referenced accounts." Is that what it says?
    - "For Sabadell depository account Sabadell United Bank has elected to close the above-referenced named accounts effective September 17, 2016."
- 23 And are you saying that you didn't send this letter or you 24 just don't recall sending this letter as you sit here today?
  - To my knowledge, I'm only aware of Zala and Fates Group

- The companies Shurden Limited, Soleymew Management, LLC.
- Water-Tidal Services, I have no idea what they are. 2
- 3 Q. And just with respect to the letter though, would you get
- 4 information from the compliance department from time to time
- 5 and be required to reach out to the customer? Is that common
- 6 practice?
- 7 If the compliance department requires additional
- 8 information on any transactions.
- 9 Q. So I guess what I'm asking you is are you saying you didn't
- send this letter, or you just have no recollection sending this 10
- 11 letter as you sit here today?
- 12 I don't recall sending this letter.
- 13 Let me go back to the first page. This references an
- 14 e-mail address MSscottlaw. Are you familiar with a person
- 15 named Mark Scott?
- 16 Α. No.
- 17 Have you ever met that person before?
- 18 Α. No.
- 19 Let me draw your attention now to September 9, 2016.
- 20 Mr. Armenta or his companies initiate any wire transfers in or
- 21 around that time?
- 22 Α. On September 16?
- 23 September 9, 2016. 0.
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 And I'm going to show you now Government Exhibit 402.

JBC7SCO3

2016.

If you could publish that for the jury too.

Who is this from and to and what is the date? A. This is from a Diane Cook to Ashley Yates, who was a relationship banker who worked for me at that time, and a copy to Mr. Gilbert Armenta. The subject was urgent wire for today - Fates Group LLC. It was sent Friday, September the 9th,

(Continued on next page)

JBC3SCO4

- 1 Just going down to the bottom, with respect to Diane Cook,
- did you ever directly interact with Diane Cook? 2
- 3 No. Α.
- In the signature line at the bottom of this e-mail, what 4 Q.
- does it say regarding Ms. Cook? 5
- A. Yes. It does. 6
- 7 MR. DiMASE: Please zoom out, Mr. Barile.
- publish the signature line. 8
- 9 Q. Just the signature of -- it says Diane Cook Fates Group and
- 10 the same address for the two companies that Mr. Armenta ran; is
- 11 that right?
- 12 Α. I'm sorry?
- 13 It says Diane Cook, Fates Group, and then the address for 0.
- the companies that Mr. Armenta ran, correct? 14
- That's correct. 15 Α.
- Who is Ashley Yates, the person? 16
- 17 Ashley Yates was a former employee of the bank who worked
- 18 for me. Worked for us.
- 19 What did Diane write to Ashley? Q.
- 20 "Dear Ashley, please find attached the letter authorization
- 21 for a \$5 million wire transfer out of Fates Group LLC for
- 22 today. Please urgently send this wire out and send us
- 23 confirmation when done. Let us know if you need anything
- 24 else."
- 25 And at the top it says "forwarded on behalf"?

- Kishore Direct
- "Forwarded on behalf of Mr. Gilbert Armenta." 1 Α.
- 2 Let's go down to the second page. What is this document Q.
- 3 that we're looking at here?
- This is a wire transfer request document from Fates Group 4 Α.
- 5 to Sabadell Bank to process a wire for \$5 million.
- Sorry. Go ahead. 6 0.
- 7 To be sent to Fenero Equity Investments LP.
- 8 Fenero Equity Investments II LP?
- 9 Yeah, II LP. Α.
- 10 What was the correspondent bank referenced here?
- 11 Α. The correspondent bank was Deutsche Bank AG Frankfurt.
- 12 Which account was being used to fund this wire?
- 13 Α. The Fates Group accounts to be sent to Fenero Equity
- 14 Investments II LP.
- 15 So this was the same Fates Group account that was set up at
- Sabadell? 16
- 17 Correct. Α.
- 18 Just go to the second page. And beneficiary listed here is
- Deutsche Bank Cayman Limited? 19
- 20 The beneficiary is Deutsche Bank International Limited.
- 21 St. Pauls Gate New Street St. Helier, Jersey, Channel Islands.
- 22 And the beneficiary is Deutsche Bank Cayman Island Limited? Ο.
- 23 Deutsche Bank Cayman Limited.
- 24 Were there additional communications regarding this wire
- 25 after the September 9?

- Yes, Ashley had requested for the purpose of the wire.
- Why don't we go to Government Exhibit 403. And this is an 2 Q.
- 3 e-mail from Giselle to Ashley copying Gilbert entitled "revised
- wire to Fenero" and it is September 12, 2016? 4
- 5 Α. Yes.

- And in the body, what does Giselle say? 6
- 7 "Here you go." Α.
- Going to the second page of this document. Does this still 8
- 9 reference the correspondent bank as Deutsche Bank Frankfurt?
- 10 Α. That's correct.
- 11 MR. DiMASE: Let me offer into evidence Government
- 12 Exhibit 1412.
- 13 No objection. MR. GARVIN:
- 14 THE COURT: 1412 will be received.
- (Government's Exhibit 1412 received in evidence) 15
- 16 Have you ever seen this e-mail before? 0.
- 17 Α. No.
- 18 It is an e-mail from Giselle or G. Valentin at Zala Group
- to Gilbert Armenta entitled "forward wire details attached." 19
- 20 Α. Correct.
- It's dated September 12, 2016? 21 Q.
- 22 Α. Correct.
- 23 What does Giselle say to Mr. Armenta in this e-mail.
- 24 "Please see attached new wire instructions from Mark. Α.
- 25 should I do? Please call."

- Below there appears to be some information about the 1
- forwarded message from Mark Scott to Giselle Valentin on 2
- 3 September 12, 2016. Do you see that?
- Α. Correct. 4
- 5 Q. Let's go to the second page. If you could just focus on
- 6 the correspondent bank. What is the correspondent bank listed
- 7 in these attached wire instructions?
- 8 The correspondent bank is Deutsche Bank Trust Company
- 9 Americas. And U.S.A.
- 10 That's 60 Wall Street, New York, New York?
- 11 Α. 10005.
- 12 Q. You can take these two down. And it again says Fenero,
- 13 Beneficiary Fenero Equity Investments II LP?
- 14 A. Correct.
- 15 Q. Let's now go to 406 in evidence. This is from Giselle to
- 16 Ashley copying Gilbert entitled "revised wire to Fenero." Also
- 17 sent on September 12, 2016?
- 18 A. Correct.
- 19 MR. DiMASE: If we go down in the e-mail further,
- 20 Mr. Barile. The same page. In the middle of the e-mail there.
- 21 And Ashley says, "Hi Giselle. We also need an explanation
- 22 for the wire when you send the updated instructions."
- 23 Α. Correct.
- 24 Is that consistent with the policy of Sabadell to ask for
- 25 wire purpose?

- Correct. Α.
- Going to the top, Ashley -- Giselle says "Attached are the 2
- revised wire instructions." 3
- 4 Α. Yes.

- Q. You see that? Just scrolling down to the wire directions. 5
- 6 In this revised wire at the bottom, what is the correspondent
- 7 bank now listed for the transaction?
- A. Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas, 60 Wall Street, New 8
- 9 York, New York 10005 U.S.A.
- 10 Q. So, it's no longer listing Deutsche Bank in Frankfurt.
- 11 It's now listing Deutsche Bank in New York. Is that fair to
- 12 say?
- 13 A. Correct, correct.
- 14 Q. Let me now turn to Government Exhibit 407. This appears to
- be an answer to the question about the explanation for the 15
- 16 wire.
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Who is it sent by?
- It's from Mr. Armenta to Ashley Yates, copying Giselle 19
- 20 Valentin, subject is "revised wire to Fenero." Sent on
- 21 September 12, 2016.
- 22 Q. What did Mr. Armenta say the wire was for?
- 23 It says "Hello Ashley, it's for asset management. Thank
- 24 you. All the best, Gilbert Armenta."
- 25 Do you have any reason to believe that this wire was

- alerted or raised any concerns at the branch level when
- 2 Mr. Armenta made the request?
- 3 No. Α.

- 4 Were you personally involved in this wire transfer? Q.
- 5 Α. No.
- 6 That being said, is the word asset management or
- 7 description here consistent with the business activity that
- Mr. Armenta had described for Fates Group? 8
- 9 That's correct. Α.
- 10 So it didn't raise any red flags at the time?
- 11 Α. No.
- 12 Let me turn to Exhibit 409 in evidence. This is another
- 13 e-mail from Giselle to Ashley on that same date. She says
- 14 "Here is the wire including Swift and ABA."
- MR. DiMASE: And Mr. Barile, if you could just go down 15
- to the second page. Or third page. 16
- 17 Q. Now the correspondent bank includes Swift and ABA details.
- 18 Do you see that at the bottom?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Let's go to 411 in evidence. Let's go to 412. And here is
- 21 another e-mail from Giselle to Ashley same date, "here you go."
- 22 If we could just go to the very bottom there. Again this has
- 23 the Swift and ABA number for the correspondent. Do you see
- that? 24
- 25 Correct. Α.

- 1 On the next page, there is also a Swift code now for the
- 2 beneficiary bank. Do you see that?
- 3 Correct. Α.
- That's Deutsche Bank Cayman Islands? 4 Q.
- Deutsche Bank Cayman Limited. 5
- Let me now turn to Government Exhibit 414. This is dated 6
- 7 September 13 of 2016 from Giselle to Ashley. And the title of
- the message is "Swift." 8
- 9 What is a Swift or a Swift message?
- 10 Swift -- Swift is a number that's used to identify every
- 11 bank. And usually when a wire is sent, they get a confirmation
- 12 for the wire. And that's what she was referring to as a Swift
- 13 confirmation.
- 14 So she says "Would you be able to get us a Swift
- 15 confirmation for the wire we did yesterday?"
- 16 Α. Correct.
- 17 And would that confirm that the wire in fact was sent?
- 18 I believe so. Α.
- 19 Let's go to Government Exhibit 417. The same day Ashley
- 20 responds saying "This is the only thing I have to send you."
- 21 You see that?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 What's attached to this e-mail if we can scroll down to the
- 24 next page.
- 25 It's our wire transfer form that we process at the branch.

- Kishore Direct
- 1 Did this wire in fact go out to Fenero Equity Investments
- TT LP? 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- Just focusing again on the intermediary bank, Deutsche Bank 4 0.
- 5 Trust Co. Americas. Is that the same bank, correspondent bank
- in New York that we had seen on some of the wire confirmations 6
- 7 or wire directions?
- 8 I have to see that. Deutsche Bank.
- 9 Sure. If we can put this side by side with Government
- 10 Exhibit 406. At the bottom there where it says Deutsche Bank
- 11 Trust Company Americas, do you see that?
- 12 Α. Yes.
- 13 That's the same bank that's listed on the wire Ο.
- 14 confirmation, correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Let me go back to Government Exhibit 419. This is the 16
- 17 letter that you didn't recall sending to Mr. Armenta. And
- 18 again, what was the date that the accounts were being closed?
- The accounts would have, based on the letter, would be 19
- 20 September 12, 2016.
- 21 Q. How does that date correspond with the date of the \$5
- million wire went out to Mr. -- to the Fenero entity? 22
- 23 I'm sorry. Repeat the question?
- 24 How does that date correspond to the date of the 5 million
- 25 wire went out to Fenero?

- 1 Α. It was the same date.
- Have you had any contact with Mr. Armenta or Ms. Valentin 2 Q.
- 3 or any other employee of Mr. Armenta after September 2016 when
- these accounts were closed? 4
- 5 Α. No.
- 6 By the way, on the date that you sent that letter,
- 7 September 12 or -- August 12 or thereabouts, did you have any
- 8 conversations with anyone at the companies?
- 9 I personally went to Mr. Armenta's office to communicate
- 10 the news in person, rather than just sending a letter. I
- 11 wasn't able to see him, but I spoke in person with Giselle to
- 12 let her know that the bank is closing a letter. I just came
- 13 here as a courtesy to let you know in person, and you'll be
- 14 receiving a letter shortly.
- O. How did she react? 15
- She was disappointed that we were exiting the relationship. 16
- 17 Not happy.
- MR. DiMASE: One moment. Your Honor, I now offer into 18
- evidence Government Exhibits 1317, 1430, 1323, and 1432. 19
- 20 MR. GARVIN: Could you repeat that, please?
- 21 MR. DiMASE: Yes. 1317, 1430, 1323, and 1432.
- 22 MR. GARVIN: No objection.
- THE COURT: Those exhibits will be received. 23
- 24 (Government's Exhibit 1317, 1430, 1323, 1432 received
- 25 in evidence)

Kishore - Direct

- 1 MR. DiMASE: And the government also offers Government Exhibits 716A through 716C. 2
- 3 MR. GARVIN: No objection.
- 4 THE COURT: Those exhibits will be received as well.
- 5 (Government's Exhibit 716A through 716C received in 6 evidence)
- 7 MR. DiMASE: Thank you. Could we publish Government Exhibit 1317, please. 8
  - You've never seen this e-mail before, correct, Mr. Kishore? Ο.
- 10 Α. I'm sorry?
- 11 You never seen this e-mail before, correct?
- 12 Α. No.

- 13 This is an e-mail from Ruja Ignatova at RavenR.com to Mark 0.
- 14 S. Scott dated April 1st, 2017, you see that?
- 15 Α. Yes.
- The subject is "attorney client privileged communication"? 16 0.
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Q. And in it, Mr. Scott says to Ruja Ignatova, "Maybe best you
- 19 sent to G. That way you can discuss further use as he knows
- 20 Thanks." you are aware.
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. If we could go to the attachment to this e-mail. This is a
- 23 letter dated April 1st of 2017 addressed to Mr. Armenta at
- 24 Fates Group LLC?
- 25 Correct. Α.

- And it's regarding a subscription of 90 million euros into 1 Fenero Equity Investments II LP. 2
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 And it discusses, it says "To date after having obtained Q.
- 5 only an initial \$10 million U.S. we have not received any
- further funds or communications from you." Do you see that? 6
- 7 Α. Yes.
- And then "For this reason we would like to reject your 8
- 9 subscription in its entirety and return to you the initial
- 10 payment."
- 11 Α. Correct.
- 12 And then it goes on to ask for banking details for an
- 13 account held by the original subscriber. Do you see that?
- 14 Yes. Α.
- 15 If we go down to the last page of this document. This is
- 16 signed by Mr. Scott?
- 17 Α. Yes.
- 18 Just to be clear, going back to page one, first page of the
- 19 exhibit. This is sent by Mark Scott to Ruja Ignatova at
- 20 RavenR.com. Correct?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Q. And Mr. Armenta does not appear to be on this e-mail.
- 23 that fair to say?
- 24 Α. Correct.
- 25 Let's now go to Government Exhibit 1430. This is an --

- you've never seen this e-mail before either, correct?
- 2 Α. No.

- 3 This is sent from Mark Scott to David Pike. Do you see
- 4 that?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- It's dated April 12, 2017. And its subject line, "for our 6
- 7 files Fates return and release letter for Fenero II"?
- 8 Α. Correct.
- 9 Q. Go to the next page. Is this essentially the same letter
- 10 that we saw in Government Exhibit 1317 that we were reading?
- 11 Α. Correct.
- 12 Going to the last page. This is signed at the bottom
- 13 underneath where it says Fates Group LLC.
- 14 Correct. Α.
- 15 I've highlighted a signature there. Looks like Gilbert
- Do you agree with that, the signature, next to name? 16
- 17 Looks like it. Α.
- Q. To be clear, this is dated around seven months after the \$5 18
- million was sent from the Fates Group account at Sabadell to 19
- 20 the Fenero account on September 12 of 2016?
- 21 Α. Correct.
- 22 Q. Going to Government Exhibit 1323. This is an e-mail from
- 23 Mark Scott to RujaIgnatov@RavenR.com dated April 24, 2017,
- 24 entitled "attorney client privileged communication."
- 25 Α. Correct.

- Kishore Direct
- 1 Again, Mr. Armenta does not appear to be on this e-mail,
- 2 correct?
- 3 That's correct. Α.
- 4 This letter has a later date of April 24, 2017. Do you see Q.
- 5 that?
- A. Correct. 6
- 7 It refers back to the earlier letter we reviewed April 3,
- 2017, in the first paragraph. Do you see that? 8
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 And it indicates that the initial payment has been returned
- 11 to the account at Fates Group LLC at Global Bank of Commerce.
- 12 Do you see that?
- 13 A. Yes.
- That was via the Deutsche Bank Trust Company Americas in 14
- 15 New York as intermediary.
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 Okay. Just going back to page one. Again this is from Ο.
- 18 Mark Scott to Ruja Ignatova. Correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
- 20 Q. Let's go to Exhibit 1433. This is an e-mail from Mark
- 21 Scott and it goes to GArmenta@FatesGroup.com copying Giselle
- 22 Valentin at ZalaGroup.com. And bcc'ing David R. Pike. Do you
- 23 see that?
- 24 Α. Correct.
- 25 And in it, could you read what Mark says to Gilbert in this

e-mail?

- "Hi Gilbert. I was told that you would like to receive 2 Α.
- 3 this document from me directly for signature. Please execute
- 4 where indicated and send me back a scanned copy with the
- 5 original signature to follow via courier. This is time
- 6 sensitive. Thanks and best. Mark S. Scott, chief executive
- 7 officer."
- 8 You haven't seen this e-mail before, correct?
- 9 Α. No.
- 10 Going down to the next page. Does this appear to be the
- 11 same letter that Mr. Scott had previously sent to the Ruja
- 12 Ignatova e-mail address that we just reviewed?
- 13 A. Yes.
- 14 Finally, let's look at Government Exhibit 1432. This is an
- 15 e-mail from Mark Scott to David Pike dated May 8th of 2017,
- entitled "confidential communication." 16
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. Mr. Scott says to file with termination letter and other
- 19 correspondence you already have in this matter. Do you see
- 20 that?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 He appears to be forwarding the e-mail below at the bottom.
- 23 Α. Yeah.
- 24 And that's an e-mail from Ms. Valentin to MS Scott at MSIC Ο.
- 25 BVI and G. Armenta. "Hello Mark. As per your request,

Kishore - Cross

- attached please find the signed letter, please provide address 1 for delivery of original. Thank you, Giselle Valentin." 2
- 3 Going to the letter. Does this appear to be the same 4 letter from the prior two exhibits, 1323 and 1433?
  - Α. Yes.

5

6

7

- Going to the last page. This again appear to be signed on behalf of Fates Group by Mr. Armenta?
- A. Yes.
- 9 MR. DiMASE: One moment.
- 10 One last turning to one last exhibit. Going back to Ο. 11 Government Exhibit 1317. This is the original e-mail in the
- 12 series of e-mails we just reviewed. Is that right?
- 13 Α. Correct.
- 14 This one was from Mark Scott to Ruja Ignatova?
- Correct. 15 Α.
- And what does it say again in the text? 16
- 17 "Maybe best you sent to G. That way you can discuss 18 further use as he knows you are aware. Thanks."
- 19 MR. DiMASE: Nothing further.
- 20 THE COURT: Cross-examination.
- 21 MR. GARVIN: Thank you, your Honor.
- 22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- BY MR. GARVIN: 23
- 24 Good afternoon, sir. How are you?
- 25 Good. Good afternoon. Α.

Kishore - Cross

- MR. GARVIN: Mr. Barile, would you be kind enough to 1 2 put 1332 back up, sir.
- 3 Q. You were just asked about this document a few moments ago; 4 is that correct?
  - Α. Correct.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

17

18

19

20

- It states on the document that "this is to file with termination letter and other correspondence you already have in this matter." Is that right?
- A. Correct.
  - MR. GARVIN: If you would be kind enough, sir, to go to the second page. Mr. Barile, would it be possible to put side by side the second page of 1430 and the second page of 1432? Thank you, sir.
- 14 Q. Now, you were asked about both of these documents during direct examination; isn't that correct? 15
- 16 Α. Correct.
  - But going back and forth, it almost seemed like the documents said the same thing, so we've put them up side by side here to confirm that these are two separate letters. You can see they're two separate letters, correct?
  - I'm not understanding the question.
- 22 Q. Yes. The document on the left is dated April 24, and the 23 description in the re is identical to the description in the re 24 on the document on the right which is dated April 3. see that?
- 25

2

6

- One states it's via hand delivery and the other one doesn't.
- 3 Q. Right. Below it says re or regarding, "subscription of 4 euro 90 million (the subscription) into Fenero Equity
- 5 Investments II LP (the fund)."
  - Do you see that, sir?
  - Correct. Α.
- And if you look to the document on the right, which is 8
- 9 Exhibit 1430, it says the exact same thing. "Re subscription
- 10 of euro 90 million," do you see that?
- 11 Α. Hmm-hmm.
- 12 Okay. Both of these documents are dealing with the return
- 13 of a \$10 million payment; isn't that correct?
- 14 A. I mean, I'm not familiar with these documents, but, you
- know. If that's what they're saying. 15
- 16 Q. All right. Fair enough.
- 17 MR. GARVIN: Mr. Barile, if would be kind enough, sir,
- to take down 1430. And just to leave 1432. Page two. 18
- Q. You were asked about the document, and you see that at the 19
- 20 end of the second paragraph it does say "For compliance
- 21 reasons, and as mentioned previously, we need to obtain written
- 22 confirmation that the initial payment minus reasonable wiring
- 23 expenses both ways has found it way into the Fates account."
- 24 Is that correct?
- 25 That's what it --Α.

Kishore - Cross

- Finally, it says "By signing below, you acknowledge and 1
- confirm that the initial payment has been received in full by 2
- 3 you in the Fates account." Did you understand, sir, that the
- 4 \$5 million, the wire transfer that your bank made on behalf of
- 5 Fates Group, was an initial payment to Fenero Equity Investment
- 6 II?
- 7 A. No.
- MR. GARVIN: Thank you, Mr. Barile. If we could 8
- 9 please put up exhibit 4108. And if you would focus on the top
- 10 part first. Thank you.
- 11 Q. You were asked previously if you knew what
- 12 MSScottlaw@gmail.com was. Do you recall that, sir?
- 13 I was just asked to confirm who sent the e-mail to where.
- 14 To whom.
- 15 Q. And you're not familiar with that particular e-mail
- 16 address, correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
- 18 Q. All you can tell from that is that the word "law" appears
- there, correct? 19
- 20 On the e-mail marker? Α.
- 21 Yes. It says MS Scott Law. Q.
- 22 A. Correct.
- 23 It appears to be some type of law related e-mail address,
- 24 correct?
- 25 I don't know. Α.

- Sir, let me ask you, have you ever met Mr. Mark Scott?
- 2 Α. No.

1

- 3 MR. GARVIN: Mark, would you be kind enough --
- 4 See him standing up, sir, do you recognize him at all? Q.
  - Α. No.
- Have you ever communicated with him to your recollection? 6 0.
- 7 Not to my recollection. Α.
- This particular document, if we could please go to the 8 Q.
- 9 second page. I believe you told counsel that you did not
- 10 recognize all of the names that are on this letter. Is that
- 11 right?
- 12 I only recognize Zala and Fates Group.
- 13 So, is it possible that someone else prepared this letter, Ο.
- 14 and placed your signature on it?
- 15 Α. I couldn't be sure. I don't recognize the other companies.
- To the best of my knowledge, I always dealt with Zala Group and 16
- 17 Fates Group. And I don't know -- I have no idea what these
- 18 other companies are. Shureden Services, Soleymew, Water-Tidal
- Services Limited. 19
- 20 Q. Fair enough. Other than the documents that you've
- 21 discussed here today before the ladies and gentlemen of the
- 22 jury, it would be accurate to say that you are not aware of any
- 23 communications between Mr. Gilbert Armenta and Mr. Mark Scott;
- 24 is that correct?
- 25 That's correct. Α.

Reeder - Direct

MR. GARVIN: Your Honor, may I have one moment to 1 confer with counsel? 2 3 THE COURT: Sure. 4 MR. GARVIN: Sir. Thank you for your time. 5 further questions. 6 THE COURT: Any redirect? 7 MR. DiMASE: No, your Honor. Thank you. THE COURT: Sir, you may step down. 8 9 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 10 (Witness excused) 11 THE COURT: And government, please call your next 12 witness. 13 MR. DiMASE: Yes, your Honor. The government calls 14 Charles Reeder. 15 THE COURT: Mr. DiMase. 16 MR. DiMASE: Thank you. 17 CHARLES REEDER, 18 called as a witness by the Government, having been duly sworn, testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 21 BY MR. DiMASE: 22 O. Good afternoon. 23 A. Hello. 24 Where do you work? Ο. 25 I'm with the law firm of Locke Lord LLP. Α.

- What is your current position there?
- 2 I am a partner of the firm, and I'm also the deputy chief Α.
- 3 operating officer.
- 4 Could you describe for the jury what are the duties and Ο.
- 5 responsibilities of the deputy chief operating officer at the
- law firm? 6
- 7 I get involved with special projects, involving accounting,
- records, tax, partner questions, some compensation issues, and 8
- 9 lateral hiring.
- 10 What does lateral hiring refer to?
- 11 I'm the lateral hiring partner for the firm. So, if there
- 12 are mainly partner candidates, I work with them through the
- 13 lateral hiring process.
- 14 Q. Just to be clear, lateral hiring, that refers to when a
- 15 partner goes from one firm to another firm as partner?
- 16 Yes, that's correct.
- 17 That's distinct from a lower-level person at the firm being
- 18 promoted to a partnership level?
- That's correct, that's correct. 19 Α.
- 20 When did you become the deputy COO at Locke Lord? 0.
- 21 March of 2017. Α.
- 22 Let me go back in time for a moment and discuss your
- 23 background. Did you attend law school, I assume?
- 24 Α. Yes, I did.
- 25 When did you graduate? Q.

I graduated in 1978.

- Where did you go to work after you graduated from law 2 Q.
- 3 school?

Α.

- Locke, Purnell, Boren, Laney & Neely. 4 Α.
- 5 Could you say that a little slower for the court reporter? Q.
- Sure. Locke, Purnell, Boren, Laney & Neely. 6 Α.
- 7 Is that a prior incarnation of Locke Lord? Q.
- 8 Α. Yes.
- 9 What role did you have when you started there? Q.
- 10 I was an associate. Α.
- 11 0. How long did you work as an associate at the firm?
- 12 Α. Seven years.
- 13 Which office were you working in? Ο.
- 14 The Dallas office. Α.
- 15 Q. Where is Locke Lord headquartered, by the way?
- 16 its primary office?
- 17 I would say the administrative headquarters are in Dallas.
- 18 What were your duties and responsibilities as an associate
- 19 at the firm? What did you work on?
- 20 I worked on legal projects, mainly tax projects and
- 21 corporate projects.
- 22 Q. Did there come a time when you were promoted within the
- 23 firm?
- 24 Α. Yes. I was made partner in 1985.
- 25 Can you just briefly describe for the jury what that means,

- Reeder Direct
- to be made a partner at the law firm? 1
- Well, you become a part owner of the business. 2 Α. Sure.
- 3 law firms really just have two types of attorneys, partners and
- 4 associates, generally. And so, partner is a very significant
- 5 change in your status within the legal profession and within
- the firm. 6
- 7 Q. Does it also change in terms of the way you're compensated
- salary, versus other methods of compensation? 8
- 9 A. Yes. The compensation does change, and the benefits will
- 10 change, too.
- 11 Q. As a partner at Locke Lord, what practice area or areas did
- 12 you work in?
- 13 Α. Tax and corporate.
- 14 How long did you practice in that capacity as a partner at
- the firm? 15
- Oh gosh. I've been with the firm for 42 years. 16
- 17 years, 35 years as a partner.
- 18 Up to today you're saying or until you became deputy COO?
- 19 Oh, no. Since I became a partner at the law firm. Α.
- 20 Got it. And you said that you were then given the position
- 21 of deputy COO in March of 2017?
- 22 Α. That's correct.
- 23 Is that in addition to your lawyer responsibilities at the
- 24 firm?
- 25 Yes, yes, it is. Α.

Reeder - Direct

- Is it fair to say that the deputy COO position is more of a 1 2 administrative side position?
- 3 Yes. Α.
- 4 Where are you located now, which office of the firm? Q.
- 5 In the Dallas office. Α.
- As a deputy COO at Locke Lord, are you familiar with the 6
- 7 books and business records kept by Locke Lord as part of its
- regular record keeping procedures? 8
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 What type of records does Locke Lord make and keep?
- 11 We have legal records, we have employee records, we have
- 12 client records, we have tax records, accounting records,
- 13 billing records.
- 14 Q. Would the client records include, for example,
- correspondence with clients of the firm? 15
- 16 Α. Yes.
- 17 I'm showing you in the binder to your left a series of
- 18 documents: Government Exhibits 2004 through 2015, 2017, 2019,
- 2025 and 26, 2029 and 30, 2033, and 2035 through 43. 19
- 20 Did you have a chance to review the documents in the
- 21 binder prior to your testimony today?
- 22 Α. Yes.
- 23 What are those documents? 0.
- 24 Α. I'm sorry?
- 25 What are the documents in the binder?

- Reeder Direct
- Oh. Well, there's e-mails and some firm documents or 1
- firm-related documents in here. 2
- 3 Q. Do some include financial transactions involving the firm
- 4 as well?
- 5 Α. Yes.
- Were these records kept in the normal course of Locke 6
- 7 Lord's business?
- 8 A. Yes.
- 9 Q. Was it the standard practice of Locke Lord to make and keep
- 10 these kinds of records?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- Q. Was the information contained in the records recorded at or 12
- 13 around the time the events took place?
- A. Yes. 14
- Q. Was the person who kept the records under a business duty 15
- to do so accurately? 16
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 MR. DiMASE: The government offers Exhibits 2004
- through 2015, 2017, 2019, 2025 and 26, 2029 and 30, 2033, and 19
- 20 2035 through 2043 into evidence.
- 21 MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Your Honor, we may have hearsay
- 22 objections to 2039 and 2040. No objections to anything else.
- 23 I'm happy to deal with that now or later.
- 24 Why don't we deal with those two later. THE COURT:
- 25 The balance of those exhibits will be received.

Reeder - Direct

- (Government's Exhibit 2004 through 2015, 2017, 2019, 1
- 2025 received in evidence) 2
- (Government's Exhibit 2026, 2029, 2030, 2033, 2035 3 4 through 2038 received in evidence)
- 5 (Government's Exhibit 2041 through 2043 received in 6 evidence)
- 7 MR. DiMASE: Thank you, your Honor. I don't know if 8 we'll end up getting to those two today.
  - Q. Mr. Reeder, let me direct your attention back to 2015. what capacity were you employed by Locke Lord at that time?
  - 2015? A partner at the firm.
- 12 So you were not deputy COO?
- 13 That's correct. Α.
- 14 Did Locke Lord merge with any other firms that year?
- 15 Α. Yes.

9

10

- What firm or firms? 16 Ο.
- Edwards Wildman & Palmer LLP, and the merger was 17
- January 10, 2015. 18
- Where did Edwards Wildman & Palmer have offices? 19
- 20 It had offices in Boston, Providence, Chicago, Miami,
- 21 Hartford, Stamford, New York. I think that's it.
- 22 Q. Prior to the merger, did Locke Lord have an office in
- Miami? 23
- 24 Α. No.
- 25 Did Locke Lord maintain a Miami office or the Edwards

JBC3SCO4 Reeder - Direct

- 1 | Wildman Palmer office after the merger?
- 2 | A. Yes.
- 3 | Q. That was integrated into the firm, in other words?
- 4 A. I'm sorry?
- 5 Q. That office was integrated into the law firm?
- 6 A. Yes, correct.
- 7 Q. How many people worked at the Miami office?
- 8 A. I don't know.
- 9 Q. Well, was it a large number of people?
- 10 A. No, it was not a large office.
- 11  $\mathbb{Q}$ . It was small?
- 12 Is that a yes?
- 13 A. Yes, that's a yes.
- 14 Q. Just need to get a verbal response for the court reporter.
- Directing your attention to June 2015. Was anyone new
- 16 | hired to work at the Miami office at that time?
- 17 | A. Yes.
- 18  $\parallel$  Q. Who was that?
- 19 A. Mark Scott.
- 20 | Q. You mentioned the concept of lateral hiring. Lateral
- 21 partner hiring. Was Mr. Scott hired as a lateral from another
- 22 | law firm?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- 24 | Q. Have you ever met Mr. Scott before?
- 25 A. Not in person.

- Reeder Direct
- Have you -- to be clear, when Mr. Scott began working in 1
- the Miami office, where were you located at that time? 2
- 3 Dallas office. Α.
- 4 Have you spoken to Mr. Scott? Q.
- 5 I'm not sure. I had a request for the partnership
- agreement when he departed, but I can't remember if that was a 6
- 7 verbal request or an e-mail request.
- So Mr. Scott either verbally or by e-mail asked you for a 8
- 9 copy of the partnership agreement?
- 10 A. Correct.
- 11 And so you don't recall whether you spoke to him at that
- 12 time or not?
- 13 Α. Yeah.
- 14 Did you provide a copy of the agreement?
- Yes, I did. 15 Α.
- Did you have any communications with him while he was 16
- 17 employed at the firm?
- 18 I may have had one communication with him about a business
- development matter. 19
- 20 Do you recall anything about that communication?
- 21 In the Dallas office, we had a German attorney, and I saw
- 22 on Mark's bio that he did work in Germany. So, I put the two
- 23 together as a business development move.
- 24 Would you be able to recognize him if you saw him in
- 25 person?

- Reeder Direct
- What, Mr. Scott? 1 Α.
- 2 Yeah. Q.
- 3 I probably recognize him because I've seen pictures.
- 4 Okay. Do you see a person matching the photographs you've Q.
- 5 seen from the firm directory here in court today?
- Yes, yes, I do. 6 Α.
  - Could you identify that person by a piece of clothing?
- 8 Α. Mark Scott.
  - MR. DiMASE: Will the record indicate that the witness has identified the defendant, your Honor.
- THE COURT: The record will so reflect. 11
- 12 So, you said that Mr. Scott was hired into the Miami office
- 13 in June of 2015. How long was he employed by the firm, until
- 14 when?

9

- 15 Α. Employed until September 30 of 2016.
- 16 Do you have any personal knowledge regarding why he
- 17 departed the firm at that time?
- A. No, I do not. 18
- 19 You mentioned the partnership agreement earlier.
- 20 familiar with the concepts of the partnership agreement?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 It sounds like Locke Lord maintains such an agreement; is
- 23 that fair to say?
- 24 Α. Yes.
- 25 As deputy COO, what involvement do you have with respect to

- the firm's partnership agreement?
- I'm sorry, what was that again? 2 Α.
- 3 As the deputy COO, what responsibility do you have with
- 4 respect to the partnership agreement?
- 5 I'm the keeper of the partnership agreement for the firm. Α.
- 6 What does that really mean, the keeper of it? 0.
- 7 Well, I keep the partnership agreement. If people want
- copies, they go to me. If we make any amendments, they come 8
- 9 through me. And every once in a while, we revise the
- 10 partnership agreement, and that process is handled by me.
- 11 So, is it fair to say that the partnership agreement is a
- 12 document that is revised over time?
- 13 Α. Yes.
- 14 What is the current version of it? Q.
- 15 Α. January 1st, 2019.
- What is the version of it that was in place at the time 16
- 17 that Mr. Scott was employed by the firm?
- 18 January 10, 2015. Α.
- 19 Are you familiar with the terms of the January 10, 2015,
- 20 partnership agreement?
- 21 Α. Yes.
- 22 Can you just at a high level, without getting into the
- 23 weeds of it, explain for the jury what the partnership
- 24 agreement provides, what high-level terms it provides for?
- 25 The partnership agreement addresses capital Sure.

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- contributions into the partnership, the distribution of profits from the partnership. When a partner leaves, there are provisions that deal with that. We have an executive committee, it governs how the executive committee is elected. We have a board of directors, and it governs how the board of directors are elected. And there is some other matters in
- Q. Just to be clear, capital contributions, those are just, 8 9 basically, contributions of assets or money into the 10 partnership by the partners?
  - Α. Correct.

there too.

- 12 Is that right? You mentioned an executive committee. 13 kinds of matters does the executive committee deal with?
  - A. Our executive committee is the ultimate governing body for It consists of 15 members. There is a chair who is the firm. the equivalent of a CEO of the firm. But, really, all major decisions are made by the executive committee.
    - MR. DiMASE: May I just have one moment to speak with counsel, your Honor.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, what?

MR. DiMASE: To speak with counsel about an exhibit I would like to publish.

> THE COURT: Okay.

(Pause)

MR. DiMASE: Your Honor, it appears we may have one

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

issue to discuss briefly at sidebar. Maybe it makes sense to do it now.

THE COURT: Can you continue for another 10 minutes with another document?

MR. DiMASE: I can come back to that document tomorrow. Sure.

BY MR. DiMASE:

Q. Mr. Reeder, what does the partnership agreement provide for as far as earning legal fees for work done outside of the law firm?

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Objection, your Honor. I think this might be something for a sidebar, unless he can move on.

THE COURT: Can you move on to another subject, Mr. DiMase?

MR. DiMASE: Yes, your Honor.

Q. With respect to the partnership agreement, are there also terms within it relating to outside positions or interests held by partners while they are employed by Locke Lord?

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Same objection and issue, your Honor.

MR. DiMASE: We'll come back to this later. you, your Honor.

Q. Let me turn now to February of 2016. Do you have any personal knowledge regarding Mr. Scott's involvement in a transfer of approximately \$5 million through the firm's escrow Reeder - Direct

- 1 accounts in February of 2016? Personal knowledge at the time
- of the events? 2
- 3 No. Α.
- 4 Have you reviewed certain firm documents related to that Q.
- 5 transfer?
- 6 Α. Yes.
  - Let me ask you to look at Government Exhibit 2035.
- Which -- there we go. 8
- 9 Directing your attention to page one, do you recognize this
- 10 form?

- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Ο. What is it?
- 13 It is a request for a deposit into the trust account. Α.
- 14 When you say "trust account," can you explain what that Q.
- means for the jury. 15
- The law firm has an operating account into which 16
- 17 revenues from clients are deposited and expenses are paid.
- Trust accounts are maintained for client funds. Those are not 18
- Those are client funds. 19 funds of the firm.
- 20 Q. What kinds of things would funds brought into a trust or
- 21 escrow account be used for?
- 22 Sure. Clients deposit funds in for retainers, which then
- 23 we pull money from the trust account when bills are sent or
- 24 invoices are sent and fees paid. They could be used for
- 25 transactional matters. If there's a matter that requires some

something like that.

3

4

Ο.

Reeder - Direct

- money, a large amount of money for closing a transaction or 1
- closing a settlement, the client would deposit the funds in for 2
- 5 A. A retainer is an amount of money that a client will pay in

You mentioned a retainer. What does that mean?

- 6 to the trust account, and that we will draw fees. So it's
- 7 prepaying legal fees before they're actually incurred.
- 8 So, a retainer is different than money to be used for a
- 9 transaction?
- 10 Clearly, yes. Α.
- 11 It's really meant for payment of fees?
- 12 Α. Yup.
- 13 All right. So with that background, let's go to Government 0.
- 14 Exhibit 2035. What is the client name listed on that form?
- 15 Α. Zala.
- What is the matter name? 16 0.
- 17 Α. ICard.
- 18 And directing your attention to the bottom of the two
- amounts listed in number four. What is the second amount 19
- 20 listed there?
- 21 Oh, \$5,116,458. Α.
- 22 What is the type of escrow payment listed on the form?
- 23 It says other. Retainer. Α.
- 24 Going down to the bottom, who is the partner who signed
- 25 this form?

Reeder - Direct

- 1 Α. Mark Scott.
- 2 And the date? Q.
- 3 February 2 of 2016. Α.
- 4 Let me go down to page four of this document. If we could Q.
- 5 just zoom in on the bottom piece there. This is an e-mail from
- 6 STrivett@LockeLord to MarkScott@LockeLord, subject line Zala
- 7 Group.
- Who is Ms. Trivett or S. Trivett? 8
- 9 That's Sandra Trivett. And she worked in the collection
- 10 accounts receivable department.
- 11 What does she say in this e-mail at the top?
- 12 "We received these two wires below. Should these be put in
- 13 trust account?"
- 14 Q. Where do these wire details show that the funds originated
- 15 from? If you look at the top transfer it says receipt received
- from? 16
- 17 Regents Bank in Birmingham.
- 18 Two lines below, who sent the money? Ο.
- Zala Group LLC. 19 Α.
- 20 There is an address listed there. East Broward Boulevard
- 21 in Fort Lauderdale?
- 22 Α. Yeah.
- 23 At the top, what is the amount of this transaction, this
- 24 wire, where it says credit and amount?
- 25 I'm sorry, what? Oh, the amounts okay. 3,644,346.

- Under customer reference it says "iCard one trends"?
- 2 Α. Correct.
- 3 This was on February 2nd of 2016? 0.
- Α. Yes. 4

- 5 There was a second wire below, appears to be the same
- sending party and bank. What was the amount of that wire? 6
- 7 Α. 1,472,112.
- Based -- that was the same date as well? 8 Q.
- 9 Α. Yes.
- 10 By the way, was it the same bank account? If you look at
- 11 the beginning, the two Zala Group customer numbers there.
- 12 it appear to be different bank account numbers, one ending in
- 13 143, and the other ending in 135. Do you see that?
- 14 I see it. Α.
- 15 Q. Do you know whether those are bank account numbers?
- 16 Α. I do not know.
- Based on your review of this document, and other documents 17
- 18 that you've gone over, do you have an understanding of where
- 19 these funds came into the firm? What account they came into?
- 20 I think they went into the iCard, the Zala -- is that what
- 21 you mean?
- 22 Q. Not the purpose of the funds, but the actual account into
- 23 which they were deposited.
- 24 Α. I think they came into the Chase operating account.
- 25 JPMorgan Chase? Q.

- Yeah, JPMorgan Chase.
- Again, that's the account that would be used for the 2 Q. 3 operating expenses of the firm?
  - A. Yes.

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5 Q. Is that the appropriate account for client funds to be sent into? 6
- 7 A. If a client is paying an invoice, that's where it would go. Client funds would normally go first into the trust account. 8
- 9 Q. Okay. Is that true with respect to retainers which are 10 ultimately to be paid for fees as well?
- A. Yes, yes. 11

THE COURT: Mr. DiMase, it is now 2:30, so we'll end for today.

Ladies and gentlemen, we'll see you bright and early tomorrow morning. We'll get started at 9:30. I do understand there have been a couple of inquiries concerning whether or not we're still on track. I did speak with the attorneys and they assure me we're still on track. So we've seen almost as many witnesses today as we've seen all of last week.

Have a wonderful evening. Be safe getting home and safe coming in tomorrow morning. It may be wet.

Do not discuss the case.

(Jury excused)

(Continued on next page)

THE COURT: Mr. Reeder, you may step down.

(Witness temporarily excused)

THE COURT: What is the controversy concerning the partnership agreement?

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: So, I'm not 100 percent certain where Mr. DiMase was going. But, based on some 3500 I think from yesterday, and those specific questions about the agreement, a copy of which we have not seen, by the way, I was concerned Mr. DiMase might be asking questions about whether Mr. Scott received -- leading to a point that Mr. Scott received legal fees from Ruja Ignatova outside of his work for Locke Lord while he was still working at Locke Lord.

THE COURT: Outside of his work for --

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: So Mr. Scott started setting up the Fenero Funds while he was still at Locke Lord. He received some payments from Ruja Ignatova affiliated companies while he was still at Locke Lord.

If what Mr. DiMase was attempting to elicit is
Mr. Scott breached his fiduciary duties, broke his partnership
agreement, those are serious allegations. We haven't gotten
404(b) notice of that. And I don't see why that would be
necessary to prove the story of the crime on trial. It just
seems gratuitous as to what his rules were with outside income
and outside employment. I was concerned with that's where he
was going.

THE COURT: Is he going to testify to the fact that Mr. Scott violated his partnership agreement?

MR. DiMASE: Yes. It is highly relevant because I expect the arguments that will be made is he was working for a reputable law firm, he was trying to do the things the right way, he was working with other partners who were on board with these transactions, yet, at the same time, he's accepting a million dollars into another account on the side. Clearly in violation of that agreement.

THE COURT: What account?

MR. DiMASE: He has an account in the name of Mark Scott PL, which is I guess an account for his private firm, quote unquote, and he's taking a million dollars during the time he's working for Locke Lord, from Ruja, and in fact it's not even coming directly from her. It's part of the money laundering evidence insofar as it's coming from IMS at her direction into that account. So that's one piece of it, is the receipt of that money and not disclosing it to his firm. And then the second piece is —

THE COURT: I'm sorry. Was that money fees that he earned doing legal work or ostensibly legal work?

MR. DiMASE: I think "ostensibly" is the word. They are justified to the banks as legal fees for work that

Mr. Scott is performing for Ms. Ignatov. In wire details that is what is shown in the e-mails around these transactions.

THE COURT: And that payment is proof of a violation of his partnership agreement?

MR. DiMASE: It would be a violation of his partnership agreement. But, let me turn to the second issue that we intend to raise regarding the partnership agreement and explain why they're both highly relevant at this trial.

The second issue is that Mr. Scott has a duty under the partnership agreement to disclose outside interests, membership on boards of directors, significant involvement in other companies. so during the period that he is a partner at this firm, he is running these investment funds, he's setting them up and running them, and taking hundreds of millions of dollars into the funds. He does not disclose that involvement to the firm.

In fact, he has other partners at the firm doing work in connection with some of these funds, while he is running them and not disclosing his involvement in them.

And so, both of these two things, the failure to disclose the fees, the failure to disclose his involvement, are core consciousness of guilt evidence that go directly to, again, Mr. Scott's state of mind, and his participation in these crimes that he's charged with.

THE COURT: What does Mr. Reeder know and what he will be testifying to?

MR. DiMASE: My anticipation, your Honor, is he would

testify to what the partnership agreement terms are. That you are not allowed -- very briefly, it wouldn't be more than a couple of questions on each area -- but you are not allowed under the partnership agreement to receive money for legal work you do while you are a partner at the firm outside of the firm. That's number one.

Number two, you are obligated to disclose in forms that you need to fill out any significant external interests or participation in entities. That then has to be approved by the executive committee at the firm to avoid — for any number of very good reasons. And that a diligent search of the records at the firm was done. No such form notifying the firm of his involvement in Fenero was ever filed, no executive committee action was ever taken on that.

With respect to the funds paid to Mr. Scott on the side, Mr. Reeder has no personal knowledge of that. In fact, that's sort of the point. We would prove that through e-mails and bank records showing that there are moneys coming from Ruja and her associated companies into his Mark Scott PL account in February and March and April of 2016, while he's employed as a partner.

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Your Honor, I think the government can get pretty far, again, we are not objecting to them putting on evidence that while he is a partner at Locke Lord, billing to some Ruja Ignatova clients. He's starting to set up the

Fenero Funds, starting to take up money for investments and for himself as salary at the same time. The government's free to show that money is criminal or whatever.

But the gloss that is problematic and I think entirely unnecessary, is that that's a breach of his fiduciary duties under the partnership agreement. I haven't seen the partnership agreement, so I don't think he should be testifying about that, for one thing. There is also other law firm partners, including Robert Courtneidge who Mr. Scott worked with, who seemed to bill some of his work as consulting privately to Ruja Ignatova. I understand there are other senior partners at Locke Lord who may have partnership income or outside activities. I don't know if they disclosed it or not.

It seems like it is a sideshow, and I don't think we need to get into whether there is a breach of fiduciary duty for the law firm for the government to make all the points they need to make in terms of him receiving money from Ruja Ignatova at the same time as he worked at the law firm.

THE COURT: Did the firm take any action?

MR. DiMASE: I don't want to speak for the firm. I am not sure whether they were aware of this. This is again the point. Until after Mr. Scott left the firm. Right. So, there wouldn't have been an ability to take action once he left.

THE COURT: You seem to suggest that the firm, I think

it was a request of the investigators undertook a search for whether or not Mr. Scott made these various representations or failed to make these representations.

MR. DiMASE: That was in preparation for this trial. Not at the time of the event.

THE COURT: I understand.

MR. DiMASE: First of all, we did produce the partnership agreement last night as 3500 material. We received it from Locke Lord yesterday. They do have it. I was not planning to introduce it or otherwise use it. I was not planning --

THE COURT: I would think that Locke Lord would not want you to do that.

MR. DiMASE: Yes. That's accurate. I wasn't planning to mention the term "fiduciary duty" or anything like that.

The core issue here is he had an obligation under this agreement to disclose it and he intentionally chose not to, and that is consciousness of guilt evidence. It shows he knows he is doing something wrong. The fact he has the duty is important for the jury to understand that he chose not to meet that duty. And the inference to be drawn from that is he was doing something wrong. And that is why it is highly relevant evidence.

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: Just briefly, your Honor. Not only did the firm not have any issues with Mr. Scott, and putting

aside what they looked at or not when he left. They continued working with him as a client. So, look, I think this really is 404(b). It is not necessary to get into the whether there was a breach of fiduciary duty which is serious. It could be considered theft from the firm. To have a partnership agreement come last night and this suddenly be an important issue in the case, I don't think we need to do it.

THE COURT: I guess it could be 404(b). It is also evidence of consciousness of guilt, and on that basis I'll allow it.

But you need to be careful, Mr. DiMase, how you phrase the questions so as not to suggest there is a violation of the agreement which may or may not exist.

What else?

MR. GARVIN: Your Honor, I think if that has been decided I wanted to just address a housekeeping matter.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. GARVIN: We've been trying, both the government and the defense, have been trying our best to keep an eye on how much time remains. And there was some indication that the government might rest as early as Friday. If that's true, because the potential amount of witnesses that Mr. Scott is thinking about calling, all of them are from out of state, so we're trying to see if there is any firm understanding at this point as to how much of the case is left, because we are going

to have to fly people in.

THE COURT: I understand. Continue to talk. I have no idea, personally, who else the government is going to call and how quick those witnesses will be and how long cross-examination will be. You folks seem to have been discussing these issues. I expect that you will continue to do so. My concern is for the jury. My concern is to make sure we get them back to their lives as quickly and efficiently as possible. And in connection that, I don't want there to be any gaps in the evidence. A half hour at the end of the day here or there is fine. But I don't want to lose that half a day because of an inability to have witnesses present.

MR. FOLLY: Your Honor, just to close the loop on that issue. We have represented we might rest as early as before Friday. Not on Friday. I think it's within the realm of possibility we'd rest on Thursday. So, we've continually been sort of sounding this alarm at defense counsel, and asking how many witnesses are you putting on and how long is that going to take, so we can appropriately schedule the charge conference and have a sense of when closing arguments are going to be. So we would respectfully request defense counsel to give us some clarity on what the scope and length of any anticipated defense case will be. And if they'll be prepared to start putting that case on as early as the end of this week.

MR. GARVIN: That's what I'm trying to flush out now.

If we're going to be expected to have people here on Friday, then obviously we have to purchase their airplane tickets literally today. And I'm hearing that is in fact the case, then we anticipate having people here on Friday. At the present time, your Honor, it looks like the defense case will be approximately one full day. Of course that depends greatly upon whether or not Mr. Scott will testify. At the present time, I don't imagine it going much longer than a day to two days maximum. But it certainly appears we will have a case to present. So, I'm trying to see if I should have people here over the weekend for Monday morning or if I should have them here for Friday.

I can proffer to the Court two or three witnesses have conflicts on Friday, and have asked me to confirm if they could come on Monday. That's why I'm trying to flesh this out now. I heard that the government, the government notified us over the weekend and I believe it was yesterday, actually, they may be resting on Friday or possibly earlier. I am trying to get a feel here as to whether it will be appropriate for us, meaning defense, to have all of our witnesses here Monday morning.

THE COURT: Like I said, there is nothing I can do as I sit here.

MR. GARVIN: I was hoping, honestly, Judge, I was hoping you would try to get the -- get the parties to give a little bit more of a commitment. I don't want to find out

Thursday we have to be calling people for Friday that are out of the state.

THE COURT: It sounds like you probably ought to be prepared to put someone on the stand on Friday.

MR. GARVIN: Yes, sir.

MR. DiMASE: We will continue to update the defense every day as we get closer to the end of our witnesses when we reasonably expect to finish. But a trial is a trial. It is a living thing. Cross length can change.

THE COURT: If there is a point where there is not a witness to be put on the stand, we can tell them we need to have the jury charge and we dismiss them for the day. That's a one shot thing. So again, to the extent you folks can continue to talk and have a very good idea as to when the government is going to finish up, that would be ideal.

I also want to just raise one issue, and you folks can think about it and you don't have to tell me now and I don't feel strongly about it. But on consent whether or not you want the jury charge to go before closings or in the ordinary course after closings. Okay. Unless there is anything else.

MR. DiMASE: I do think that we're likely to have some objections to some of the exhibits that the defense wishes to admit on cross of this Locke Lord witness.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. DiMASE: But, I think it probably makes sense for

us to figure out what those are and come back to the Court tomorrow morning.

THE COURT: Tomorrow morning at 9.

MR. DiMASE: There is also the letter that the government put in regarding the cryptocurrency expert testimony. There hasn't been a response yet. I don't think it's urgent, but I wanted to flag that.

THE COURT: And you're objecting to it or just certain aspects of it?

MR. DiMASE: We do continue to object to the testimony. But we understand the Court has permitted the expert as a general matter. What we are focusing is one particular aspect of that testimony, and that's what the letter is about.

THE COURT: Is that cryptocurrency expert in New York?

MR. DEVLIN-BROWN: He is in New York. That's

obviously something we'll look at the government's letter. It

came in last night. We'll try to give a little more clarity to

the government and we'll send something to the Court.

THE COURT: Okay. Have a good evening, everyone. (Adjourned until November 13, 2019, at 9 a.m.)

1	INDEX OF EXAMINATION
2	Examination of: Page
3	LINDA COHEN
4	Direct Q
5	Cross By Mr. Garvin 810
6	Redirect By Ms. Lozano 816
7	SENEM FIRUZ
8	Direct By Ms. Lozano 818
9	Cross By Mr. Garvin 866
10	Redirect By Ms. Lozano 881
11	Recross By Mr. Garvin 883
12	MOSHE KISHORE
13	Direct By Mr. Dimase 885
14	Direct By Mr. Dimase 892
15	Cross By Mr. Garvin
16	CHARLES REEDER
17	Direct By Mr. DiMase
18	GOVERNMENT EXHIBITS
19	Exhibit No. Received
20	GX 731A,GX 723A, 723B, 723C, 723D, 723E 787
21	and 723F
22	710A, 710B, 710C, 710D, 710E 817
23	711A, 711B, 711C, 711D, 711E 817
24	2901, 2902, 2903, 2905, 2906 829
25	1041, 1055, 1099, 1140, 1116, 1317 840

1	1325, 1360, 1133, 1437, 1438, 1439 840
2	401 through 409 and 411 through 422 896
3	4108
4	1412
5	1317, 1430, 1323, 1432 918
6	716A through 716C 919
7	2004 through 2015, 2017, 2019, 2025 935
8	2026, 2029, 2030, 2033, 2035 through 2038 . 935
9	2041 through 2043 935
10	DEFENDANT EXHIBITS
11	Exhibit No. Received
12	624
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	